Environmental Protection Agency Internet Information

EPA Region 2

While Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests will be honored by directly writing to Region 2, EPA provides an increasing amount of environmental media information, and other Regional activities via Internet at http://www.epa.gov.

Region 2 has provided a FOIA Web site http://www.epa.gov/region02/foia/ with several online databases from which the environmental information can be retrieved.

• "Frequently FOIAed Files" Web site http://www.epa.gov/region2/foia/fff.htm
covers RCRA and many other media Programs. Through this Web site, you can learn about each media Program, associated databases, and special points of interest. In particular, the ability to "directly download" all of the most commonly requested Region 2 Export Files (.xls) and Reports (.pdf) - all compressed for quicker downloading.

EPA Region 2 has established a **list of contaminated facilities** that are a high priority for cleanup in New York, New Jersey, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. You can view each facility fact sheet at http://www.epa.gov/region2/waste/cleanup/sites/

EPA- Headquarters

- Envirofacts Data Warehouse Web site http://www.epa.gov/enviro/index_java.html is a one-stop source to the environmental information. This Web site provides access to several EPA databases with information about environmental activities that may affect air, water and land anywhere in the United States.
- "Window to My Environment" Web site http://www.epa.gov/enviro/wme is a powerful tool that provides a wide range of federal, state and local information about environmental conditions and futures in an area of your choice.
- The Enforcement and Compliance History Online (ECHO) Web site http://www.epa.gov/echo/ provides a list of all inspections and enforcement under most of the environmental statutes.
- Right-To-Know Network (RTK Net), a non-EPA Web site http://www.rtk.net.org/ online query engine provides free access to numerous databases and resources on environment.
- National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Report Web site http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/data/biennialreport/index.htm provides documents and data on hazardous waste reports.
- Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generators Web site http://www.epa.gov/osw/hazard/generation/cesqg.htm provides information on Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generators.

RCRARep Handler Detail Report

Facility Information Regulated Activity County Name / Location Address ... ID / Dist NJD065827925 UNION TWP BD OF ED HANNAH CALDWELL SCH 1120 COMMERCE AVE CENTRAL UNION UNION NJ 07083-5000 Other State Interests -State Not a generator, Verified Permitting/Closure Universes Permit Progress (Storage) Corrective Action Universes Subject to Corrective Action TSDF Subject to CA only under Discretionary Authorities Sources Overwritten Prior to 2001 (before RCRA kept history for activity/address/contact) 01/01/07 I State/EPA 01/01/06 I State/EPA 04/23/98 N Notification 02/28/92 R 91 Biennial 04/30/90 R 89 Biennial 11/19/80 A Part A Extract Flag All data for this Handler is released to the Public (except any enforcement-sensitive CME data) Activity Location Handler Module Data for NJ State only Previous/Other Site Name BEST FOODS RES. & ENG. CENTR 02/28/92 91 Biennial BEST FOOD RES. & ENG. CENTER 04/30/90 89 Biennial

Location Address

01/01/07 State/EPA 1120 COMMERCE AVE

UNION (NJ039)

UNION, NJ 070835000 State District: CENTRAL

Land Type: ()

02/28/92 91 Biennial 1120 COMMERCE AVE.

UNION (NJ039)

UNION, NJ 070830000 State District: CENTRAL

Land Type: U (U)

04/30/90 89 Biennial 1120 COMMERCE AVENUE

UNION (NJ039)

UNION, NJ 07083

State District: CENTRAL

Land Type: U (U)

11/19/80 Part A 1120 COMMERCE AVE

UNION (NJ039)

Report run on: October 25, 2013 5:12 PM

RCRARep Handler Detail Report

NJD065827925

Location Address

UNION, NJ 070835000 State District: CENTRAL

Land Type: ()

North American Industrial Classification (NAICS)

01/01/07 State/EPA 31142 02/28/92 91 Biennial 31142 04/30/90 89 Biennial 31142

31142 FRUIT AND VEGETABLE CANNING, PICKLING, AND DRYING

Mailing Address

1120 COMMERCE AVE 01/01/07 State/EPA

UNION, NJ 07083

02/28/92 91 Biennial 1120 COMMERCE AVENUE

UNION, NJ 070830000

04/30/90 89 Biennial 1120 COMMERCE AVENUE

UNION, NJ 07083

1120 COMMERCE AVE 11/19/80 Part A

UNION, NJ 07083

Contact

04/23/98 Notification KAREN DUNN

2369 MORRIS AVE

UNION, NJ 07083

Phone: (908)851-6406 J CASEY

02/28/92 91 Biennial KEITH

Phone: (908)688-9000 281

KEITH CASEY 04/30/90 89 Biennial

Phone: (201)688-9000 281

Legal Owner/Operator of Site

01/01/07 State/EPA Current Owner from -

> (Municipal) UNION TWP BD OF ED

2369 MORRIS AVE UNION, NJ 07083 Phone: (908)851-6406

Notes: This record created to coincide with EPA Mass Update for 01/

01/2007 on Rundate: 06/11/2008

Current Operator from -01/01/07 State/EPA

> BEST FOODS RES AND ENG CENTER (Private)

1120 COMMERCE AVE OPERCITY, NJ 99999 Phone: (201)688-9000

Notes: This record created to coincide with EPA Mass Update for 01/

01/2007 on Rundate: 06/11/2008

04/23/98 Notification Current Owner from -

> UNION TWP BD OF ED (Municipal)

Report run on: October 25, 2013 5:12 PM

RCRARep Handler Detail Report

NJD065827925

Legal Owner/Operator of Site

2369 MORRIS AVE UNION, NJ 07083

Phone: (908)851-6406

11/19/80 Part A Current Operator from -

BEST FOODS RES AND ENG CENTER (Private)

1120 COMMERCE AVE OPERCITY, NJ 99999 Phone: (201)688-9000

Regulated Hazardous Waste Activities

01/01/07 State/EPA

Federal Not a Generator

Said is not a TSD. Agency gets TSD from Permit Units in PMT Module.

01/01/06 State/EPA

Federal Not a Generator

Said is not a TSD. Agency gets TSD from Permit Units in PMT Module.

04/23/98 Notification

Federal Small Quantity Generator

Said is not a TSD. Agency gets TSD from Permit Units in PMT Module.

02/28/92 91 Biennial

Federal Large Quantity Generator

Said is not a TSD. Agency gets TSD from Permit Units in PMT Module.

04/30/90 89 Biennial

Federal Large Quantity Generator

Said is not a TSD. Agency gets TSD from Permit Units in PMT Module.

11/19/80 Part A

Federal Not a Generator

Said is a TSD. Agency gets TSD from Permit Units in PMT Module.

Waste Codes

04/23/98 Notification	D000	D001	D002	D003	D009	D011	F002	F003
	F005	P004	P012	P022	P031	P037	P051	P059
	P089	P094	P098	P105	P119	P120	U001	U002
	U003	U012	U019	U021	U031	U034	U044	U048
	U052	U056	U061	U069	U077	U081	U082	U084
	U091	U092	U108	U112	U117	U122	U123	U124
	U125	U127	U133	U134	U140	U144	U146	U151
	U154	U159	U161	U165	U174	U179	U180	U185
	U188	U196	U197	U201	U211	U213	U218	U219
	U220	U228	U239					
11/19/80 Part A	D001	D002	D003	F002	F003	F005	P022	

D000 DESCRIPTION

D001 IGNITABLE WASTE

D002 CORROSIVE WASTE

D003 REACTIVE WASTE

D009 MERCURY

RCRARep Handler Detail Report

NJD065827925

Waste Codes

- D011 SILVER
- THE FOLLOWING SPENT HALOGENATED SOLVENTS: TETRACHLOROETHYLENE, METHYLENE CH LORIDE, TRICHLOROETHYLENE, 1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE, CHLOROBENZENE, 1,1,2-TRIC HLORO-1,2,2-TRIFLUOROETHANE, ORTHO-DICHLOROBENZENE, TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE, AND 1,1,2, TRICHLOROETHANE; ALL SPENT SOLVENT MIXTURES/BLENDS CONTAINING, BEFORE USE, A TOTAL OF TEN PERCENT OR MORE (BY VOLUME) OF ONE OR MORE OF TH E ABOVE HALOGENATED SOLVENTS OR THOSE SOLVENTS LISTED IN F001, F004, AND F0 05; AND STILL BOTTOMS FROM THE RECOVERY OF THESE SPENT SOLVENTS AND SPENT S OLVENT MIXTURES.
- THE FOLLOWING SPENT NONHALOGENATED SOLVENTS: XYLENE, ACETONE, ETHYL ACETATE
 , ETHYL BENZENE, ETHYL ETHER, METHYL ISOBUTYL KETONE, N-BUTYL ALCOHOL, CYCL
 OHEXANONE, AND METHANOL; ALL SPENT SOLVENT MIXTURES/BLENDS CONTAINING, BEFO
 RE USE, ONLY THE ABOVE SPENT NONHALOGENATED SOLVENTS; AND ALL SPENT SOLVENT
 MIXTURES/BLENDS CONTAINING, BEFORE USE, ONE OR MORE OF THE ABOVE NONHALOGE
 NATED SOLVENTS, AND A TOTAL OF TEN PERCENT OR MORE (BY VOLUME) OF ONE OR MO
 RE OF THOSE SOLVENTS LISTED IN FOO1, FOO2, FOO4, AND FOO5; AND STILL BOTTOM
 S FROM THE RECOVERY OF THESE SPENT SOLVENTS AND SPENT SOLVENT MIXTURES.
- THE FOLLOWING SPENT NONHALOGENATED SOLVENTS: TOLUENE, METHYL ETHYL KETONE, CARBON DISULFIDE, ISOBUTANOL, PYRIDINE, BENZENE, 2-ETHOXYETHANOL, AND 2-NIT ROPROPANE; ALL SPENT SOLVENT MIXTURES/BLENDS CONTAINING, BEFORE USE, A TOTA L OF TEN PERCENT OR MORE (BY VOLUME) OF ONE OR MORE OF THE ABOVE NONHALOGEN ATED SOLVENTS OR THOSE SOLVENTS LISTED IN F001, F002, OR F004; AND STILL BO TTOMS FROM THE RECOVERY OF THESE SPENT SOLVENTS AND SPENT SOLVENT MIXTURES.
- P004 1,4,5,8-DIMETHANONAPHTHALENE, 1,2,3,4,10,10-HEXA-CHLORO-1,4,4A,5,8,8A,-HEXA HYDRO-, (1ALPHA, 4ALPHA, 4ABETA, 5ALPHA, 8ALPHA, 8ABETA)- (OR) ALDRIN
- P012 ARSENIC OXIDE AS203 (OR) ARSENIC TRIOXIDE
- P022 CARBON DISULFIDE
- P031 CYANOGEN (OR) ETHANEDINITRILE
- P037 2,7:3,6-DIMETHANONAPHTH[2,3-B]OXIRENE, 3,4,5,6,9,9-HEXACHLORO-1A,2,2A,3,6,6
 A,7,7A-OCTAHYDRO-, (1AALPHA, 2BETA, 2AALPHA, 3BETA, 6BETA, 6AALPHA, 7BETA,
 7AALPHA)- (OR) DIELDRIN
- P051 2,7:3,6-DIMETHANONAPHTH[2,3-B]OXIRENE, 3,4,5,6,9,9-HEXACHLORO-1A,2,2A,3,6,6 A,7,7A-OCTAHYDRO-, (1AALPHA, 2BETA, 2ABETA, 3ALPHA, 6ALPHA, 6ABETA, 7BETA, 7AALPHA)- & METABOLITES (OR) ENDRIN (OR) ENDRIN, & METABOLITES
- P059 4,7-METHANO-1H-INDENE, 1,4,5,6,7,8,8-HEPTACHLORO-3A,4,7,7A-TETRAHYDRO- (OR)
 HEPTACHLOR
- P089 PARATHION (OR) PHOSPHOROTHIOIC ACID, O,O-DIETHYL-O-(4-NITROPHENYL) ESTER
- PHORATE (OR) PHOSPHORODITHIOIC ACID, O,O-DIETHYL S-[(ETHYLTHIO)METHYL] ESTE
- P098 POTASSIUM CYANIDE (OR) POTASSIUM CYANIDE K(CN)
- P105 SODIUM AZIDE
- P119 AMMONIUM VANADATE (OR) VANADIC ACID, AMMONIUM SALT
- P120 VANADIUM OXIDE V205 (OR) VANADIUM PENTOXIDE
- U001 ACETALDEHYDE (I) (OR) ETHANAL (I)
- U002 2-PROPANONE (I) (OR) ACETONE (I)
- U003 ACETONITRILE (I,T)

RCRARep Handler Detail Report

NJD065827925

Waste Codes

U213

ANILINE (I,T) (OR) BENZENAMINE (I,T) 11012 BENZENE (I,T) U019 U021 [1,1'-BIPHENYL]-4,4'-DIAMINE (OR) BENZIDINE 1-BUTANOL (I) (OR) N-BUTYL ALCOHOL (I) U031 U034 ACETALDEHYDE, TRICHLORO- (OR) CHLORAL CHLOROFORM (OR) METHANE, TRICHLORO-U044 O-CHLOROPHENOL (OR) PHENOL, 2-CHLORO-U048 U052 CRESOL (CRESYLIC ACID) (OR) PHENOL, METHYL-BENZENE, HEXAHYDRO- (I) (OR) CYCLOHEXANE (I) U056 BENZENE, 1,1'-(2,2,2-TRICHLOROETHYLIDENE)BIS[4-CHLORO- (OR) DDT U061 1,2-BENZENEDICARBOXYLIC ACID, DIBUTYL ESTER (OR) DIBUTYL PHTHALATE U069 ETHANE, 1,2-DICHLORO- (OR) ETHYLENE DICHLORIDE U077 U081 2,4-DICHLOROPHENOL (OR) PHENOL, 2,4-DICHLORO-2,6-DICHLOROPHENOL (OR) PHENOL, 2,6-DICHLORO-U082 1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE (OR) 1-PROPENE, 1,3-DICHLORO-U084 [1,1'-BIPHENYL]-4,4'-DIAMINE, 3,3'-DIMETHOXY- (OR) 3,3'-DIMETHOXYBENZIDINE U091 DIMETHYLAMINE (I) (OR) METHANAMINE, N-METHYL- (I) U092 1,4-DIETHYLENEOXIDE (OR) 1,4-DIOXANE U108 ACETIC ACID, ETHYL ESTER (I) (OR) ETHYL ACETATE (I) U112 ETHANE, 1,1'-OXYBIS-(I) (OR) ETHYL ETHER (I) U117 U122 FORMALDEHYDE FORMIC ACID (C,T) U123 U124 FURAN (I) (OR) FURFURAN (I) 2-FURANCARBOXALDEHYDE (I) (OR) FURFURAL (I) U125 BENZENE, HEXACHLORO- (OR) HEXACHLOROBENZENE U127 HYDRAZINE (R,T) U133 HYDROFLUORIC ACID (C,T) (OR) HYDROGEN FLUORIDE (C,T) U134 11140 1-PROPANOL, 2-METHYL- (I,T) (OR) ISOBUTYL ALCOHOL (I,T) ACETIC ACID, LEAD(2+) SALT (OR) LEAD ACETATE U144 LEAD SUBACETATE (OR) LEAD, BIS (ACETATO-O) TETRAHYDROXYTRI-U146 U151 MERCURY METHANOL (I) (OR) METHYL ALCOHOL (I) TJ154 2-BUTANONE (I,T) (OR) METHYL ETHYL KETONE (MEK) (I,T) U159 4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (I) (OR) METHYL ISOBUTYL KETONE (I) (OR) PENTANOL, 4-M U161 ETHYL-U165 NAPHTHALENE ETHANAMINE, N-ETHYL-N-NITROSO- (OR) N-NITROSODIETHYLAMINE U174 N-NITROSOPIPERIDINE (OR) PIPERIDINE, 1-NITROSO-U179 U180 N-NITROSOPYRROLIDINE (OR) PYRROLIDINE, 1-NITROSO-BENZENE, PENTACHLORONITRO- (OR) PENTACHLORONITROBENZENE (PCNB) U185 U188 PHENOL U196 PYRIDINE 2,5-CYCLOHEXADIENE-1,4-DIONE (OR) P-BENZOQUINONE U197 U201 1,3-BENZENEDIOL (OR) RESORCINOL U211 CARBON TETRACHLORIDE (OR) METHANE, TETRACHLORO-

FURAN, TETRAHYDRO-(I) (OR) TETRAHYDROFURAN (I)

RCRARep Handler Detail Report Report run on: October 25, 2013 5:12 PM

NJD065827925

Waste Codes

U218 ETHANETHIOAMIDE (OR) THIOACETAMIDE U219 THIOUREA

U220 BENZENE, METHYL- (OR) TOLUENE

U228 ETHENE, TRICHLORO- (OR) TRICHLOROETHYLENE

U239 BENZENE, DIMETHYL- (I,T) (OR) XYLENE (I)

Certification

01/01/07 State/EPA BRS-MANIFEST MASS UPDATE

Signed: 01/01/07

01/01/06 State/EPA BRS CYCLES 2001 2003 2005 BRS 2001 2003 2005

Signed: 01/01/06

02/28/92 91 Biennial SECTION LEADER KEITH J CASEY

Signed: 02/28/92

04/30/90 89 Biennial SECTION LEADER KEITH CASEY

Signed: 04/30/90

Biennial Reports Included/Excluded in Reports

02/28/92 91 Biennial Site probably included in 1991 BR National report. 04/30/90 89 Biennial Site probably included in 1989 BR National report.

Report run on: October 25, 2013 - 4:59 PM

User Selection Criteria

Handler ID: Location: NJD065827925 New Jersey, all activities Group of IDs: Activity Location: None Chosen None Chosen

Handler Name:

Handler Universe: All Facilities Regardless of Universe

Determined Date Range: From: 10/01/1980 To: 10/25/2013

Location County Code: None Chosen

Location City:

State District: **Location Zip Code:** None Chosen Display Code Descrip.: Yes Violation Type:

Focus Area: **Evaluation Type:**

Sort Order: Region, State, Handler Name **Display Universes:** Yes

Results

Data meeting the criteria you selected follows.

Total Pages: 5 Total Handlers:1

Report Description

evaluations, violations, and enforcement actions meeting the criteria supplied by the user. Evaluations showing no violations does not always indicate that actions and referrals, and State to EPA referrals; all other enforcement actions are released releasing enforcement sensitive information to the public the following information is not shown on the report: pending civil / judicial referrals, criminal no violations were determined. Violation without enforcement actions does not always mean no enforcement action will be issued. In order to avoid This report presents available information from the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Information System (RCRAInfo) about compliance

Report Information

Name: cme_foia.rdf

Developed by: EPA Headquarters, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance

Deployed: June 2006

Last Updated: May 2012

Contact:

Tables Used: rcrainfo.help@epa.gov cmecomp3, ccitation3, hreport_univ5, lu_citation, lu_state, hid_groups

Libraries:

Version 5.0

Report run on: October 25, 2013 - 4:59 PM

Page 2

Appeal Resolved:			Appeal Initiated:	Appeal			atus:	Disposition Status:	nent: N	CA Component: N
	Branch:	XZVIII		Responsible Person:		EPA	Agency:			Docket:
001	Identifier: 001	i i		Action Date: 09/20/1985	Acti	- 1	Type: 210		Activity Location: NJ	Enforcement:
Found Violation: YES Focus Area:	Branch:	. Day Zero:	Person: C: NO	Identifier: 003 F Not Subtitle C: NO	- Total - Income	By: EPA Oversight Sampling: NO	-	Activity Location: NJ Multimedia Inspection: NO	09/20/1985 plaint: NO	NRR Evaluation 09/20/1 Citizen Complaint: NO
Responsible Agency: EPA Sequence Number: 5001	_	by Agency: EPA RTC Qualifier: OBSERVED	Determined by Agency: EPA RTC Qualifier: OE	Determir	/1985 4/04/1987	Determined Date: 09/20/1985 Actual Compliance Date: 04/04/1987	Deterr Actual Co	Type: 262.A 985	ation: Activity Location: NJ Typ Scheduled Compliance Date: 10/20/1985	Violation: Activity L Scheduled Compl
PER DEC. BR. I. SONSON, INVESTIGATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP		A 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11							rcements	No Linked Enforcements
Focus Area:	BOCEDBOOKSENERY (A MARTIN LA BEBROOKSEN HITHMAN	Day Zero:	C: NO	Not Subtitle C: NO	######################################	Sampling: NO		Multimedia Inspection: NO	plaint: NO	Citizen Complaint: NO
Found Violation: YES	Branch:	Person: R2DEP	Person	ldentifier: 004	lden	By: State	By:	Activity Location: NJ	01/23/1986	CEI Evaluation
Responsible Agency: State Sequence Number: 3	0	by Agency: State RTC Qualifier: UNVERIFIABLE	Determined by Agency: State RTC Qualifier: UN	Determin	/1986 2/08/1988	Determined Date: 01/23/1986 Actual Compliance Date: 12/08/1988	Detern Actual Co	динанда голом от населения предостава и пре		Violation: Activity Location: N. Scheduled Compliance Date:
Appeal Resolved:			nitiated:	Appeal Initiated:			atus:	Disposition Status:	ent: N	CA Component: N
	Didilci.	אַ אַ בּירַ י		Responsible Person.		State	Agency:			Docket:
OUS	Branch: 003	7		Action Date: 01/23/1986	Acti	!	Type: 120	Z	Activity Location: 1	Enforcement:
Appeal Resolved:	Landinon C		nitiated:	Appeal Initiated:			atus:	Disposition Status:	ent: N	CA Component:
		otal Final: \$5,375		İ	Collected:	\$5,375	Final Monetary:	\$5,375	ormation: Proposed:	Penalty Information:
		יורי ביי ביי ביי	Vesbousible Leison: IXDE	1		State	Agency: State			Docket:
002	Identifier: 002	ם ס	6/1986 Borgon: Bo	Action Date: 10/16/1986	Actio		Type: 310	ZALIZAZOWANIE POZWOWANIA WYWOZOWANIE ZA WYZOWANIE ZA WYZO	Activity Location: N	Enforcement:
FOCUS Area:	Account of the section of the sectio	Day Zero:	∷ NO	Not Subtitle C: NO		Sampling: NO	O	Multimedia Inspection: NO		Citizen Complaint: NO
Found Violation: YES	Branch:	n: R2DEP	erso	Identifier: 004	Ident	By: State	By: t	Activity Location: NJ	01/23/1986	CEI Evaluation
Sequence Number: 2	ED	RTC Qualifier: OBSERVED	RTC Qual		3/07/1987	Actual Compliance Date: 08/07/1987	Actual Co	986	ite: 11/05/1	Scheduled Compli
		/: State	Determined by Agency: State	Determin	1986	Determined Date: 01/23/1986	Determ	Type: 262.A	S	Violation: Activity Location:
	ZZZ	EPA Unaddressed SNC: EPA Addressed SNC: EPA SNC w/Comp Sched:	EPA Unade EPA Addre	ZZZ	ssed SNC ed SNC: comp Sche	State Unaddressed SNC: State Addressed SNC: State SNC w/Comp Sched:		Converter: State TSDF:	N Co	Full Enforcement: CA Wrkld: Active State Gen:
El Indicator (HE / GW)N / N Subpart K:	z : z		IC In Place: HSM:	z	¥ ¥	Operating TSDF Offsite Receiver:		Transporter: N Transfer Facility: N	N Tra	Generator: Short-Term Gen: N
Ig: Y Active Site: N	Extract Flag: Y		Non-Notifier:	No	.7	Accessibility:		State District: CENTRAL	Stat	Activity Location: NJ
								NJ 07083	1120 COMMERCE AVE; UNION, NJ 07083	Mailing: 1120 COMME
REGION 02								NJ 07083-5000	ERCE AVE; UNION,	Location: 1120 COMMERCE AVE; UNION, NJ 07083-5000
NJD00362/923			N/NJ039	County Name / Code: UNION / NJ039	nty Name	Cou		CALDWELL SCH	F ED HANNAH	UNION TWP BD OF ED HANNAH CALDWELL SCH
NI IDDEE237035										

^{*} Note: Penalty amount may not reflect all violations cited.

Report run on: October 25, 2013 - 4:59 PM

Page 3

UNION TWP BD OF ED HANNAH CALDWELL SCH, NJD065827925, UNION, NJ, continued -

CDI Evaluation 07/11/1994	07/11/1994	Activity Location: NJ	By: State	Identifier: 000	Person: NJBA	Branch: M	Found Violation: U
Citizen Complaint: NO	laint: NO	Multimedia Inspection: NO	Sampling: NO	Not Subtitle C: NO	Day Zero	ero:	Focus Area:
CEI Evaluation 12/08/1988	12/08/1988	Activity Location: NJ	By: State	Identifier: 008	Person: R2DEP	Branch:	Found Violation: NO
Citizen Complaint: NO	laint: NO	Multimedia Inspection: NO	Sampling: NO	Not Subtitle C: NO	Day Zero:	ero:	Focus Area:
NRR Evaluation 07/07/1988	07/07/1988	Activity Location: NJ	By: State	Identifier: 007	Person: R2DEP	Branch:	Found Violation: NO
Citizen Complaint: NO	laint: NO	Multimedia Inspection: NO	Sampling: NO	Not Subtitle C: NO	Day Zero:	ero:	Focus Area:
NRR Evaluation 03/09/1988	03/09/1988	Activity Location: NJ	By: State	Identifier: 006	Person: R2DEP	Branch:	Found Violation: NO
Citizen Complaint: NO	laint: NO	Multimedia Inspection: NO	Sampling: NO	Not Subtitle C: NO	Day Zero:	ero:	Focus Area:
NRR Evaluation 04/17/1986	04/17/1986	Activity Location: NJ	By: State	Identifier: 005	Person: R2DEP	Branch:	Found Violation: NO
Citizen Complaint: NO	laint: NO	Multimedia Inspection: NO	Sampling: NO	Not Subtitle C: NO	Day Zero	ero:	Focus Area:
NRR Evaluation 07/31/1984	07/31/1984	Activity Location: NJ	By: State	Identifier: 002	Person:	Branch:	Found Violation: NO
Citizen Complaint: NO	laint: NO	Multimedia Inspection: NO	Sampling: NO	Not Subtitle C: NO	Day Zero	ero:	Focus Area:
NRR Evaluation 03/07/1984	03/07/1984	Activity Location: NJ	By: State	Identifier: 001	Person:	Branch:	Found Violation: NO
Citizen Complaint: NO			Sometime: NO	Not Subtitle C: NO	Day Zero	Pro.	Focus Area:

Total Number of Handlers:
Total Number of Activity Locations:

* End of Report *

* Note: Penalty amount may not reflect all violations cited.

Report run on: October 25, 2013 - 4:59 PM

Description of codes used on the report:

IJNIVORCOC	
Generator	Indicates that the facility is a Large Quantity Generator (LQG), Small Quantity Generator (SQG), Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generator (CEG), or not a generator (N).
Transporter	Indicates that the facility Transports waste subject to RCRA regulations. ('Y' indicates that the facility is in this universe).
Operating TSDF	Indicates that the facility is a Treatment, Storage or Disposal facility subject to any type of enforcement. It then specifies the type of facility (L - Land Disposal; I - Incinerator; B - BIF; S - Storage; T - Treatment)
IC in Place	Indicates that the facility has Institutional Controls in place. ("Y" indicates that the facility is in this universe).
El Indicator (HE / GW)	Indicates that the facility has controls in place for Environmental Indicators. HE - Human Exposures ('+' indicates the exposure exists and is under control; '-' indicates the exposure exists and is not under control; 'N' indicates the exposure does not exist) GW - Groundwater Release ('+' indicates the exposure exists and is under control; '-' indicates the exposure exists and is not under control; 'N' indicates the exposure does not exist)
Short-Term Gen	Indicates that the facility is a short term or one time event generator and not generating from ongoing processes.
Transfer Facility	Indicates that the facility transfers hazardous waste.
Offsite Receiver	Indicates that the facility, whether public or private, currently accepts hazardous waste from another site (site identified by a different EPA ID).
HSM	Indicates that the facility manages hazardous secondary material(s) (e.g. spent material, by-product or sludge) that when discarded, would be identified as hazardous waste.
Subpart K	Indicates that the facility has opted into the subpart K laboratory rule. It then specifies the type of facility (C - College or University; H - Teaching Hospital; N - Non-profit Research Institute; W - withdrawal from the rule)
Full Enforcement	Indicates that the facility is a Treatment, Storage or Disposal facility which is part of the Full Enforcement universe. It then specifies the type of facility (L - Land Disposal; I - Incinerator; B - BIF; S - Storage; T - Treatment)
CA Workload	Indicates that the facility is part of the Corrective Action Workload universe. ('Y' indicates that the facility is in this universe).
Active State Gen	Indicates that the facility is an Active State Generator. ("Y' indicates that the facility is in this universe).
Converter	Indicates that the facility is a Converter Treatment, Storage or Disposal facility. It then specifies the type of facility (L - Land Disposal; I - Incinerator; B - BIF; S - Storage; T - Treatment)
State TSDF	Indicates that the facility is a State Treatment, Storage or Disposal facility. It then specifies the type of facility (L - Land Disposal; I - Incinerator; B - BIF; S - Storage; T - Treatment)
State Unaddressed SNC	Indicates that the facility is a State Unaddressed Significant Non-Complier. ("Y" indicates that the facility is in this universe).
State Addressed SNC	Indicates that the facility is a State Addressed Significant Non-Complier. ('Y' indicates that the facility is in this universe).
State SNC w/ Compl. Sched	Indicates that the facility is a State Significant Non-Complier with a Compliance Schedule. ('Y' indicates that the facility is in this universe).
EPA Unaddressed SNC	Indicates that the facility is an EPA Unaddressed Significant Non-Complier. ("Y' indicates that the facility is in this universe).
EPA SNC w/ Compl. Sched	Indicates that the facility is a EPA Significant Non-Complier with a Compliance Schedule. ("Y' indicates that the facility is in this universe).

^{*} Note: Penalty amount may not reflect all violations cited.

Report run on: October 25, 2013 - 4:59 PM

Description of codes used on the report:

aciliavilla acceptance alloagh nomerocinos o los reconestos	
indicates that the handler's case is tied up in litigation to the extent that further progress in cationing BCBA compliance through normal enforcement is not possible.	г-
indicates that all responsible parties (owners/operators) for the handler have fled the country or are otherwise not available for prosecution.	П
the CERCLA program or state equivalent.	
considerate and enforcement at the facility have been formally transferred to	c
indicates that all RCRA responsibilities for permitting/closure, corrective action, and	2
indicates that the handler has filed for bankruptcy and bankruptcy litigation is in process.	Φ.
Description	Code
	>
processing (previously called Bankrupt Indicator):	processing (
ACCESSIBILITY - indicates the reason why the handler is not accessible for normal RCRA tracking and	ACCESSIBI
	The same of the sa

indicates that the handler is a former non-notifier.	0
exempt from requirements to notify.	
indicates that the handler was initially a non-notifier, subsequently determined to be	m
Description	Code
NON-NOTIFIER - indicates that the handler has been identified through a source other than Notification and is suspected of conducting RCRA-regulated activities without proper authority:	NON-NOTIFI

262.A	Violation Type
	53 32
GENERAT	
ORS - GEN	
VERAL	. 21
	Description
	3

Evaluation Type	Type Description
CDI	CASE DEVELOPMENT INSPECTION
CEI	COMPLIANCE EVALUATION INSPECTION ON-SITE
NRR	NON-FINANCIAL RECORD REVIEW

Enforcement Type	Enforcement Description	
120	WRITTEN INFORMAL	
210	INITIAL 3008(A) COMPLIANCE	
310	FINAL 3008(A) COMPLIANCE ORDER	

^{*} Note: Penalty amount may not reflect all violations cited.

RCRA PRIORITIZATION SYSTEM SCORING SUMMARY

FOR

BEST FOODS ENGINEERING & RES.

EPA SITE NUMBER: NJD065827925

UNION, NJ

SCORED BY: ROB SAVILL

OF CDM FEDERAL

ORIGINAL RANKING: 11/01/93 LAST RANKING: 11/01/93

GROUNDWATER SCORE : 30.59

SURFACE WATER SCORE: 18.24

AIR ROUTE SCORE : 0.00

ONSITE SCORE : 3.43

MIGRATION SCORE : 17.89

WS-1 GROUNDWATER ROUTE

IS THERE AN OBSERVED RELEASE? P

ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

DEPTH TO AQUIFER (FT.) : 15

NET PRECIPITATION (IN.): 15

PHYSICAL STATE: LIQUID, GAS, SLUDGE

CONTAINMENT: GOOD

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

CHEMICAL NAME OR WASTE CODE NUMBER: CARBON TETRACHLORIDE

TOXICITY/PERSISTANCE VALUE: 18

QUANTITY KNOWN? NO

CUBIC YARDS OR TONS: 0

DRUMS : 0

AMOUNT IS LIKELY TO BE LARGE

TARGETS

GROUNDWATER USE: DRINKING WATER

DISTANCE TO WELL (MILES): 1.2

WS-2 SURFACE WATER ROUTE

RELEASES

IS THERE AN OBSERVED RELEASE? N

IS THERE A PERMITTED OUTFALL? N

HAVE THERE BEEN PERMIT VIOLATIONS? N

ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

FACILITY LOCATION: OTHER

24-HOUR RAINFALL: 2.5

DISTANCE TO SURFACE WATER (MILES): 0.15

PHYSICAL STATE: LIQUID, GAS, SLUDGE

CONTAINMENT: GOOD

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

CHEMICAL NAME OR WASTE CODE NUMBER: CARBON TETRACHLORIDE

TOXICITY/PERSISTANCE VALUE: 18

QUANTITY KNOWN? NO

CUBIC YARDS OR TONS: 0

RUMS :

AMOUNT IS LIKELY TO BE LARGE

TARGETS

SURFACE WATER USE: POSSIBLE DRINKING WATER OR RECREATION

DISTANCE TO INTAKE OR CONTACT POINT (MILES): 0.2

DISTANCE TO SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT (MILES): 0.4

WS-3 AIR ROUTE

RELEASES

IS THERE AN OBSERVED, UNPERMITTED, ON-GOING RELEASE? N

DOES THE FACILITY HAVE AN AIR OPERATING PERMIT(S)? N

HAVE THERE BEEN ANY PERMIT VIOLATIONS OR ODOR COMPLAINTS BY RESIDENTS? N

CAN CONTAMINANTS MIGRATE INTO AIR? Y

CONTAINMENT: VERY GOOD

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

CHEMICAL NAME OR WASTE CODE NUMBER: CARBON TETRACHLORIDE

TOXICITY/PERSISTANCE VALUE: 3

QUANTITY KNOWN? YES

CUBIC YARDS OR TONS:

0

DRUMS

=

TARGETS

POPULATION: RESIDENCES ARE LOCATED WITHIN FOUR MILES

DISTANCE TO SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT (MILES): 0.4

WS-4 ON SITE CONTAMINATION

ACCESS TO SITE: LIMITED ACCESS

IS THERE AN OBSERVED SURFACE SOIL CONTAMINATION? N

CONTAINMENT: GOOD

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

CHEMICAL NAME OR WASTE CODE NUMBER: CARBON TETRACHLORIDE

TOXICITY/PERSISTANCE VALUE: 3

TARGETS

DISTANCE TO RESIDENTIAL AREAS (MILES): 0.20

IS THERE AN ON-SITE SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT: N

RCRA PRIORITIZATION SYSTEM SCORING SUMMARY

FOR BEST FOODS ENGINEERING AND RESEARCH Union Township, NEW JERSEY

EPA SITE NUMBER: NJ DO 658 27925

OF CDM FEDERAL

ON OCTOBER 28, 1993

GROUNDWATER SCORE:

SURFACE WATER SCORE:

AIR ROUTE SCORE:

ONSITE SCORE:

MIGRATION SCORE:

EPA ID NO. : NJD065827925

WS-1 GROUNDWATER ROUTE

IS THERE AN OBSERVED RELEASE? A POSSIBLE RELEASE: UNDEREROUND HOLDING TANK ON SOUTH SIDE OF SOLVENT HOUSE IS OF UNKNOWN INTEGRITY (REAL PRATTE) ITEM!)

ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

DEPTH TO AQUIFER (FT.) : 15 (PEF) PARTITY ITEM 4)

NET PRECIPITATION (IN.) : 15" (REP.) PARTITY ITEM 6)

PHYSICAL STATE: LIQUID AND SOUD (REFI ; PARTIE; ITEMY)

CONTAINMENT: DRUM STORAGE AREA: CONTAINMENT: GOOD (REF); PARTIT: ITEM 6)
UST No INFO RESTANGAMM INTEGRITY: GOOD (REF); PARTIT: ITEM 6)

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

CHEMICAL NAME OR WASTE CODE NUMBER: CARBON JETRACHLORIDE (REP.) PARTIE

TOXICITY/PERSISTANCE VALUE: \X

QUANTITY KNOWN? //o

CUBIC YARDS OR TONS:

DRUMS

Likely to be Large based on dimensions of Storeys house. (Refl; Part II; Item 2)

TARGETS

GROUNDWATER USE: DRINKING WATER (REP 1; PARTIE; ITEM 10)

DISTANCE TO WELL (MILES): 1.2 miles (REF) PARTINGITEM 7)

EPA ID NO. : NJD065827925

WS-2 SURFACE WATER ROUTE

RELEASES

IS THERE AN OBSERVED RELEASE? No (REAL) PARTIES ITEM II)

IS THERE A PERMITTED OUTFALL? No (REAL) PARTIES ITEM IO)

HAVE THERE BEEN PERMIT VIOLATIONS? No PERMIT

ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

FACILITY LOCATION: OUTSIDE 500-YR FLOODPLAIN (REF 1; PARTITI; ITEM 14)

24-HOUR RAINFALL: Q.5 (REP 3; MAP OF 1-YEAR 24 HOUR RAINFALL)

DISTANCE TO SURFACE WATER (MILES): O. 15 MILES (REF 1; PARTITE; ITEM 13)

PHYSICAL STATE: L KOUID AND SOLID (REF 1; PARTITE; ITEM 4)

CONTAINMENT: SEE WS-I GROUNDWATER ROUTE

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

CHEMICAL NAME OR WASTE CODE NUMBER: CARBON TETRACHLORIDE (REF 1; PARTILITIENS)
TOXICITY/PERSISTANCE VALUE: 18

QUANTITY KNOWN? //o

CUBIC YARDS OR TONS: Likely to be large based on DRUMS: dimensions of Solvent House (Ref 1; PartII; Item 2)

TARGETS

SURFACE VATER USE: NOT DRINKING WATER (REF.); PARTIE; HEN 16): ASSUME RECREATIONAL

DISTANCE TO INTAKE OR CONTACT POINT (HILES): O. 15 MILES. (REF.); PARTIE; ITEM 13)

DISTANCE TO SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT (MILES): Z'/2 MILE (REF.); PARTIE; ITEM 15)

WS-3 AIR ROUTE

RELEASES

IS THERE AN OBSERVED, UNPERMITTED, ON-GOING RELEASE? NO (REF); PARTIL'S HEMBS)

DOES THE FACILITY HAVE AN AIR OPERATING PERMIT(S)? NO (REF); PARTIL'S ITEM (O)

HAVE THERE BEEN ANY PERMIT VIOLATIONS OR ODOR COMPLAINTS BY RESIDENTS?

NO PERMIT'S NO INFO ON CITIZEN COMPLAINTS: NO

CAN CONTAMINANTS MIGRATE INTO AIR? YES

CONTAINMENT: VERY GOOD (REF); PARTIL'S ITEM (Seuled Container) Indexs)

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

CHEMICAL NAME OR WASTE CODE NUMBER: CARBON TETRACHLORIDE (REF) PARTILITEMS)
TOXICITY/PERSISTANCE VALUE: 3

QUANTITY KNOWN? Y

CUBIC YARDS OR TONS:

DRUMS

: 4 + 10 - 5 GALL BUCKETS = 5 (RECT) PARTITY ITEM 3)

TARGETS

POPULATION: RESIDENCES WITHIN FOUR MILES

DISTANCE TO SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT (HILES): < / (REFLI) PART AF ITEM 25)

EPA ID NO. : NJD065827925

WS-4 ON SITE CONTAMINATION

ACCESS TO SITE: NO INFO .: ASSUME LIMITED ACCESS

IS THERE AN OBSERVED SURFACE SOIL CONTAMINATION? NO EXISTING DATA

(REF); PAPT III; EXISTING ANALYTICAL DATA)

CONTAINMENT: SEE WS-1 GROUNDWATER

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

CHEMICAL NAME OR WASTE CODE NUMBER: (ARBIN PERROCHIONIDE (REF1; PACTIL:) ITEM 5)
TOXICITY/PERSISTANCE VALUE: 3

TARGETS

DISTANCE TO RESIDENTIAL AREAS (MILES):
(PEF): PAPITE: ITEM 20)
IS THERE AN ON-SITE SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT: NO (REF): PAPITE: ITEM 22)

REFERENCES

- 1- EBASCO SERVICES, INC. ENVIRONMENTAL PRIORITIES INITIATIVE/PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT FOR BEST FOODS ENGINEERING AND RESEARCH IN UNION TOWNSHIP, UNION COUNTY, NEW JERSEY, SEPTEMBER 1992.
- 2- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Uncontracted HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE RANKING SYSTEM).

 AUGUST, 1982.

DWM-029

GPIX

GENERATOR INSPECTION REPORT

FACILITY INFORMATION

FACILITY NAME: Best Foods Research + Engineering
FILE NUMBER: 20-19-03
VHT FACILITY FILE NUMBER:
PERMIT #:
REGION: M
INSPECTION DATE: 12/8/88
INCIDENT/CASE NUMBER:
INSPECTION TYPE: RCRA-Gen
RESPONSIBLE AGENCY CODE: 5
INSPECTOR'S NAME: Chris FeliceTTi
INSPECTOR'S AGENCY: NJOEP-04wm
INSPECTOR'S BUREAU: BFO-M
EPA ID NUMBER: NJO 665827925
ADDRESS: 1170 Commerce Red. Ave
Union, N.J. 67083
LOT: BLOCK: 4304
COUNTY: (n)
FACILITY PERSONNEL: Keith Casey
TELEPHONE #: 201-688- 9000
OTHER STATE/EPA PERSONNEL:
REPORT PREPARED BY: Chris FeliceTTi
REVIEWED BY:
DATE OF REVIEW: 12/16/88

. •	TIME IN: 1330
•	TIME OUT: 1530
• •	PHOTOS TAKEN (_) YES (_) NO IF YES, HOW MANY?
	SAMPLE TAKEN (_) YES (_) NO NO. OF SAMPLES
	NJDEP SAMPLE ID#:
	MANIFESTS REVIEWED () YES () NO
	Number of mentiones a
	and the mainlests in compliance
	Number of manifests in compliance Number of manifests not in compliance
	Number of manifests not in compliance List manifest document numbers of those manifests not in compliance.

1

-.

FACILITY DESCRIPTION AND OPERATIONS:

at Bart of 1. D. Conducted a RCRA inspection
The state of the s
Division in Union 11 TD a regineering
was me Heill Carley, Section Leaden for Best Front.
R. T. H.
tusines in the do of the
grow products and the took of
The francisco of Kara and a fill of a fill
Inc. (Box) b. D. Il
Meximonly Co. To
al all a contract of the contr
Mulley (myras is a 1 th)
Mulley (macaroni products), Shippy (planet butter) and Helmans (mayonats).
and Helman (many) theppy (planet butter)
Ray malarista of = 0
eggs, and planuty for the Goods to a last
eggs, and planuty for the foods, to solventy (methanse; acetone eto), acids, bases and
To the - To asses alreaded.
on this sile are from the laboratory work
are conting and analysing the foods There
The sowenity from entraction on some en en
acids and burse from digestion reactions.

-H-

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

as being in the solvent house, in ease a
purblem a south touse, in ease a
waste. The storage area las a containment
tank hooked to it by means of a floor drain system, in case a spill should occur
The pilt of 7 D
The pilot plant has a declaring that
Just oils. The partures is a declaring that
Jest oils. The partures a non-contact cooling
water from the compressors I live to
water from the compressors. I his water as
Is discharge of the free plant duains
Is discharged to the server under plant of the point meeting of Essex and Union Countres fewerage authority. The documentation nevilent and
The will the foint meeting of Essex and
I Dunker fewerage authority.
The documentation neview was conducted,
be in good onder, including land ban found to
notification abo to
molification, all other documentation was also in good order. Letters & I live
To the good onder detters had been sent
On the local Korpelal, and to the local
fue dept. on 3/3/87, to potent
requirement. The line equipment
tested, for 1988 of all the profiler
The continue of wally thinks.
gency plan and plusonael
$\mathcal{J}^{m{x}}$

-A# (SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

attended to
onder. I still to
an a second
problems discovered.
phoblems discovered
Ike Parille -
The land ban notaling to mpleanch with
(De a lan molycation requirements of
(for solvenly) and the calfornia list (177)
with pH of less than 2, and works on Ti
HOC'S
The facility is in compliance with the fand ban notification requirements of (for solvents) and the california list (wuste with pH of less than 2, and waste containing HOC'S)
The Facility was delisted to generator only status
in February 1988

00			•
John J	ephac	tion an	d acid digestion
Good	Sunduc	ts.	yes sor a
)			
			·
·			
y the hazar	dous waste]	located on a	ite, and estimate the appro
les of each,	· (Identify	Waste Codes)
	·		
ZX	55 and	dece 1	Solvents - F003 DOOZ lab pack chemi
1 x 55		d.	Dolven's FOO 3
	yar /	aum	1002
more	Igal.	misc.	lat such chair
7 /	0		V September 1
· /			

GENERAL	GENERAL CHECKLIST			
	•	YES	NO N	<u>'A</u>
7:26-7.4(a)1	Does the Generator have an EPA ID number?	<u></u>	_	
HAZARDOUS WASTE D	ETERMINATION			
7:26-8.5(a)	Did the generator test its waste to determine whether it is hazardous?		$\underline{\nu}$	
7:26-8.5(b)	Did the generator determine the hazardous characteristics based upon knowledge of process?	<u>~</u>		
	Is the waste hazardous?	_		
7:26-8.5(d)	Were test results, waste analysis, or other determinations made in accordance with this section kept for three years from the date that the waste was last sent to an on-site or off-site TSF?	<u>/</u>		
MANIFESTS	:			
7:26-7.4(a)4	Does each manifest have the following information? Please circle the elements missing and obtain a copy of the incomplete manifests. (List those manifests that are deficient on G-1).	<u></u>	/	
7:26-7.4(a)41	The generator's name, address and phone number.			
7:26-7.4(a)411	The generator's EPA ID number.	<u></u>		
7:26-7.4(a)4111	The hauler(s) name, address phone number and NJ registration.	1		
7:26-7.4(a)41v	The hauler(s) EPA ID number.			
7:26-7.4(a)4v	The name, address and phone number of the designated TSD facility.	<u>/</u>		
7:26-7.4(a)4vi	The TSF's EPA ID number.			
7:26-7.4(a)4 v	The name, address and phone number of the designated TSD facility.			
7:26-7.4(a)4v11	The name, type and quantity of hazardous waste being shipped, including such particulars as may be required regarding same?	<u>~</u>		
7:26-7.4(a)4viii	Special handling instructions and any other information required on the form to be shipped by generator?			an amadism

		YES NO N/A
7:26-7.4(3)	Did the generator describe all N.O.S. wastes in Section J?	
7:26-7.4(a)1x	When shipping hazardous waste to a waste reuse facility does the generator enter the waste reuse facility I.D. # in the section G of the Uniform Manifest?	
7:26-7.4(a)5	Before allowing the manifested waste to leave the generator's property, did the generator:	
7:26-7.4(a)51	Sign the manifest certification by hand?	
7:26-7.4(a)511	Obtain the handwritten signature of the initial transporter and date of acceptance on the manifest?	
7:26-7.4(a)5111	Retain one copy and forward one copy to the state of origin and one copy to the state of destination?	
7:26-7.4(a)51v	Provide the required numbers of copies for: generator, each hauler, owner/operator of the designated facility, as well as one copy returned to the generator by the facility owner/operator?	
7:26-7.4(a)5v	Give the remaining copies of the manifest form to the hauler?	
7.26-7.4(f)	Has the generator maintained facility records for three (3) years? (Manifest(s), exception report(s) and waste analysis)	
7:26-7.4(h)1	Has the generator received signed copies of portion B (from the TSD facility) of all manifests for waste shipped off site more than 35 days ago?	
7:26-7.4(h)1	If not: Did the generator contact the hauler and/or the owner or operator of the TSDF and the NJDEP at (609) 292-8341 to inform the NJDEP of the situation?	
7:26-7.4(h)2	Have exception reports been submitted to the Department covering any of these shipments made more than 45 days ago?	

7:26-9.3	Accumulation Time
	How is waste accumulated on site?
	Containers Tanks (greater than 90 days) (complete HWMF (TSD) Facility Checklist) Tanks (less than 90 days) Above ground Below ground Surface impoundments (complete HWMF (TSD) Facility Checklist) Piles (complete HWMF checklist)
7:26-9.3(a)1	Is waste accumulated for more than 90 days?

STOP HERE IF THE HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITY (TSF) CHECKLIST IS FILLED OUT.

Short term accumulation standards for generators who accumulate waste in containers and tanks for 90 days or less:

		YES	NO	NI /A
Containers			<u>NO</u>	N/A
7:26-9.4	What type of containers are used for storage. Describe size, type, quantity, and nature of waste (e.g. 12 fifty-five gallon drums of waste acetone).	55 gr slat 2 x 1 x	l dans packs 55 gal 55 gal	M - F00. - -
7:26-9.4(d)2	Do the containers appear to be in good condition, not in danger of leaking?	<u></u>		-
	If no, describe the problem (include number of containers involved.)			
7:26-9.4(d)41	Are all containers securely closed except those in use?	<u> </u>	_	
7:26-9.4(d)4111	Do the containers appear to be properly handled or stored in a manner which will minimize the risk of the container rupturing and/or leaking?			-
7:26-9.4(d)41v	Are containerized hazardous wastes segregated in storage by waste type?	/		
7:26-9.4(d)4v	Is every container arranged so that its identification label is visible?		 .	
7:26-9.4(d)5	Is the container storage area inspected at least daily?	1		
7:26-9.4(d)6	Are containers holding ignitable and reactive wastes located at least 50 (fifty) feet (15 meters) from the facilities property line?	<u> </u>		
7:26-7.2(a)	Did the owner/operator conspicuously label appropriate manifest number on all hazardous waste containers that are intended for shipment?	$\underline{\checkmark}$		
7:26-9.3(a)3	Is each container clearly dated with each period of accumulation so as to be visible for inspection?	$\sqrt{}$		ninging.

7:26-7.2(ъ)		YES NO N/A
	Did the owner/operator insure that all containers used to transport hazardous waste off site are in conformance with applicable DOT regulations? (49CFR 171, 179)	
Tanks (Less th	an 90 day storage)	
7:26-9.3(b)	Does the generator accumulate hazardous waste on-site in an above ground tank?	
	If yes, describe the tank(s): 1) Capacity 2) Shell thickness	
	3) Material Construction 4) Age of tank	
7:26-9.3(b)	Does the generator have written approval from the Department to store hazardous waste(s) in this tank(s) for ninety days or less?	
7:26-9.3(Ъ)1	Does each tank(s) have sufficient shell thickness to ensure the tank will not collapse or rupture as specified by the Department?	
7:26-9.3(b)4	Is the tank(s) designed so that at least 99% of the volume of each of the tanks can be emptied by direct pumping or drainage?	
7:26-9.3(ъ)5	Is each tank(s) rendered empty (1% or less remaining) every 90 days or less?	
7:26-9.3(b)6	Are all wastes removed from the tank(s) shipped off-site to an authorized facility or placed in an on-site, authorized facility?	
7:26-9.3(ъ)8	If part of the tank is below grade, is it constructed to allow visual inspection of the tank, comparable to a totally above-ground tank and is is secondary containment provided for the below grade part?	
7:26-10.5(c)1	Are materials which are incompatible with the material of construction of the tank(s) placed in the tank(s)?	
7:26-10.5(c)2	Does the generator use appropriate controls and practices to prevent overfilling?	

7:26-10.5(c)211	For uncovered tanks, is there sufficient (two feet or acceptable documentation) freeboard to prevent overtopping by wave or wind action	YES	NO	N/A	
	by or precipitation?			****	1
7:26-9.3(b)3	Does each tank(s) or storage tank area have secondary containment?		_		1
7:26-10.5(d)1	Is the containment system capable of collecting and holding spills, leaks, and precipitation?				
7:26-10.5(d)11	Is the base underlying the tank(s) free from cracks, gaps, and sufficiently impervious to contain leaks, spills, and accumulated rainfall until the collected material is detected and removed?				
7.26-10.5(d)11	Does the containment system consist of material compatible with the wastes being stored?				
7:26010.5(d)111	Is the containment system sloped or otherwise designed to efficiently drain and remove liquids resulting from leaks, spills and precipitation?				
7:26-10.5(d)111	Is the tank protected from contact with accumulated liquids?				
7:26-10.5(d)iv	Does the containment system have sufficient capacity to contain ten percent of the volume of all tanks or the volume of the largest tanks whichever is greater?				
7:26-10.5(d)2	Is run-on into the containment area prevented?				+
	If not, explain.		_	7	-
7:26-10.5(d)3	Is precipitation removed from the pump or collection area in a timely manner to prevent blockage or overflow of the collection system?				
7:26-10.5(d)4	Is spilled or leaked waste removed from the pump or collection area daily?				•
					,

	·	VEC NO NA
7:26-10.5(d)41	If the collected material is hazardous waste under NJAC 7:26-8, it is managed as a hazardous waste in accordance with all applicable requirements of this chapter?	YES NO N/A
7:26-9.4(g)4	Personnel Training	
8	Have facility personnel successfully completed a program of classroom instruction or on-the-job training since six months after the date of their employment or assignment to the facility or to a new position at the facility?	
7:26-9.4(g)5	Has facility personnel taken part in an annual review of initial training?	
7:26-9.4(g)2	Is the program directed by a person trained in hazardous waste management procedures and does it include instruction which teaches facility personnel hazardous waste management procedures (including contingency plan to implementation) relevant to the positions in which they are employed?	
	Is there written documentation of the following:	
7:26-9.4(g)61	Job title for each position at the facility related to hazardous waste management, and the name of the employee filling each job?	
7:26-9.4(g)611	A written job description for each position related to hazardous waste management?	
7:26-9.4(g)6111	A written jeb description on the type and amount of both introductory and continuing training that has been and will be given to personnel in jobs related to hazardous waste management?	<u> </u>
7:26-9.4(g)61v	Documentation of actual training or experience received by personnel?	
7:26-9.4(g)7	Are training records kept on all current employees until closure of the facility and training records kept on former employees for three years from their last date of employment?	
	<u>-</u>	

· —
_
_
_
_

			0-14	
	<u>Y</u>	s no	N/A	
7:26-9.6(f)2	Where more than one police and fire department might respond to an emergency, is there an agreement designating primary emergency authority to a specific police or fire department, and agreements with any others to provide support to the primary emergency authority?			<u>/</u>
7:26-9.6(f)3	Agreements with emergency response contractors, and equipment supplies?	_		
7:26-9.6(£)4	Arrangements to familiarize local hospitals with the properties of hazardous waste handled at the facility and the types of injuries or illnesses which could result from fires, explosion, or discharges at the facility?			
7:26-9.6(£)5	Arrangement with local fire departments to inspect the facility on a regular basis with at least two (2) inspections annually?	1		_
7:26-9.6(£)6	If authorities identified in (f)1 through 5, above decline to enter into such arrangements, has the owner, or operator documented this refusal in the operating record.			
7:26-9.4(g)8	Are semi-annual drills conducted involving all employees and appropriate local authorities to test emergency response capabilities at the facility in accordance with the contingency plan and emergency procedures development pursuant to NJAC 7.26-9.7?	<u> </u>		
7:26-9.4(g)81	If no, did the owner or operator petition the Department for an exemption from the semi annual drills requirement?			
7:26-9.4(g)811	Did the owner or operator petition the Department for an exemption excluding some or all local officials in the semi annual drill requirements?			$\underline{\hspace{0.1cm} \hspace{0.1cm}
	If yes, did the owner operator pro- vide those specific local officials with written approval of the exemption?			<u></u>

YES NO N/A

7:26-9.7 Contingency Plan and Emergency Procedures 7:26-9.7(a)Does the facility have a written contingency plan for emergency procedures designed to deal with fires, explosions, hazards to human health or environment, or any unplanned sudden or non-sudden release of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents into air, soil or surface water? 7:26-9.7(b) Are provisions of the plan carried out immediately whenever there is a fire, explosion, or release of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents which could threaten human health or the environment? 7:26-9.7(c) Does the contingency plan describes the actions facility personnel shall take in response to fires, explosions, or any unplanned sudden or non-sudden release of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents to air, soil, or surface water at the facility? 7:26-9.7(d)Did the owner or operator prepare a Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures (SPCC) Plan in accordance with 40 CFR 112 or 300 or a Discharge Prevention Containment and Countermeasure (DPCC) Plan in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:1E-4.1 et seq. If yes, did the owner or operator amend that plan to incorporate hazardous waste management provisions that are sufficient to comply with the requirements of this section? 7:26-9.7(e) Does the plan describe arrangements agreed to by local police departments, fire departments, hospitals, contractors,

and State and local emergency response teams to coordinate emergency services?

7:26-9.7(f) Does the plan list names, addresses, and phone numbers (office and home) of all persons qualified to act as emergency coordinator and is this list kept up to date? Where more than one person is listed, one shall be names as primary emergency coordinator and others shall be listed in the order in which they will assume responsibility as alternates? 7:26-9.7(g)Does the plan include a list of all emergency equipment at the facility (such as fire extinguishing systems, spill control equipment, communications and alarm systems (internal and external) and decontamination equipment), where this equipment is required? Is the list up-to-date? In addition, does the plan include the location and physical description of each item on the list, and a brief outline of its capabilities? 7:26-9.7(h) Does the plan include an evacuation procedure for facility personnel where there is a possibility that evacuation could be necessary? Does this plan describe signal(s) to be used to begin evacuation, evacuation routes, and alternative evacuation routes (in case where the primary routed could be blocked by releases of hazardous waste or fires)? 7:26-9.7(1) Is a copy of the contingency plan and all revisions to the plan: Maintained at the facility: Has the contingency plan been submitted to local authorities (police fire departments, emergency response teams)?

7:26-9.7(k)

Is there an employee on site or on call at all times with the responsibility of coordinating, all emergency response measures?

RCRA LAND DISPOSAL RESTRICTION INSPECTION APPLICABILITY CHECKLIST

Does the facility handle the following wastes?

				•	•			
				Gen.	Treat	Store	Disp.	Trans.
A.	<u>F-S</u>	olvent Wa	<u>stes</u>					
	1.	F001				***************************************		
	2.	F002						
	3.	F003						
	4.	F004						
	5.	F005						
		Note:	Use Appendi misclassifyin	x A to deteg g any of its	rmine where wastes.	ther the fa	cility is	

B. California List Wastes

1. Liquid hazardous waste (including free liquids associated with any solid or sludge) that contains the following metals at concentrations greater than or equal to those specified MA

			•	,	
	Gen.	Treat	Store	Disp.	Trans.
Arsenic	500 mg/L				
Cadmium	100 mg/L		-		
Chromium VI	500 mg/L				
Lead	500 · /v			,	
Mercury					-
-	20 mg/L	-			
Nickel	134 mg/L				
Selenium	100 mg/L				
Thallium	130 mg/L				
	å			***	

	, .	ATTO OF STRUCT	waste (includi ge) that contair cater than or e	o tree auamid	1	A	
			Gen.	Treat	Store	Disp.	Trans.
3.	Liqui	d hazardous	waste that has	a pH of less	than or eq	ual to 2.0	
4.	Liquio than c	,	waste that con 50 ppm	tains PCBs at	concentra	tions greate	er
			500 ppm				
	. I	Poes the faci contains PCB	lity mix liquid s with other ty	hazardous w	vaste that		***************************************
			Yes	No		NA	
	I	f yes, state r	easons for mix	ing:			
	-						····
5.	Liquid greater than 10	hazardous w than or equ 0,000 mg/L	vaste that is pr al to 1,000 mg/	imarily water L (dilute HO	and that C wastewa	contains H iter) and le	OCs ss
	Note: 7 waste is specific	The prohibiti also subject HOC.	ons of 268.32(a to the solvent	restrictions	io not appl of 268 Sub	y if the H(part C or a	DC .

RCRA LAND DISPOSAL RESTRICTION INSPECTION

GENERATOR CHECKLIST

GENERATOR REQUIREMENTS

A.	BDAT Treatability Group - Treatment Standards Identification
	1. F-Solvent Wastes: Does the generator correctly determine the appropriate treatability group of the waste?
	Yes No NA If yes, check the appropriate treatability group.
	Wastewaters containing solvents (less than or equal to 1% TOC Pharmaceutical wastewater containing spent methylene chloride All other spent solvent wastes
2.	California List Wastes: Does the generator correctly determine the appropriate treatment standard of the waste?
•	a. For liquid hazardous waste that contains PCBs at concentrations greater than or equal to 50 but less 500 ppm, is the treatment in accordance with existing TSCA thermal treatment regulations for burning in high efficiency boilers (40 CFR 761.60) or incineration (40 CFR 761.70)?
	Yes No NA If yes, specify the method:
	b. For liquid hazardous waste that contains PCBs at concentrations greater than or equal to 500 ppm, is approved alternate methods (40 CFR 761. 60 (e))?
	Yes No NA If yes, specify the method and state whether the facility has submitted a written request to the Regional Administrator or Assistant Administrator for an exemption from the incineration requirement:

B.	Wast	e Analysis
	1.	F-Solvent Wastes
		a. Does the generator determine whether the F-solvent waste exceeds treatment standards?
		Yes No NA
		How was this determination made?
		- Knowledge of waste
		YesNo
		If yes, note how this is adequate: 100% concentration,
		- TCLP
		Yes No
		If yes, provide the date of last test, the frequency of testing, and note any problems. Attach test results.
	b	treatment standards upon generation [268.7(a)(2)]?
		NA
		If yes, specify the waste stream: Solvent extraction waste
	C.	Does the generator dilute the F-solvent waste as a substitute for adequate treatment [268.3]?
		Yes No NA
	d.	How does the generator test F-solvent waste when a process or waste stream changes? Trocess doesn't lange- all waste considered LDR
2.	Ca	lifornia List Wastes
	a.	Does the generator determine whether the waste is a liquid according to the Paint Filter Liquids Test (PFLT method 9095) as described by SW-846?

--b .	If the waste is determined to be a liquid according to PFLT, is an absorbent added to the waste?
	Yes No NA
	What type of absorbent is used? Check the types of waste to which absorbent is added.
	Liquid hazardous waste having a pH less than or equal to 2
	Liquid hazardous waste containing HOCs in concentrations greater than or equal to 1,000 mg/L, but less than 10,000 mg/L
	Liquid hazardous waste containing metals
	Liquid hazardous waste containing free cyanides
. C.	Does the generator determine whether the concentration levels (not extract or filtrate) in the waste equal or exceed the prohibition levels or whether the waste has a pH of less than or equal to 2.0 based on:
	- Knowledge of wastes
	Yes No NA
	If yes, note how this is adequate: run analyTical Tests in labs on site + Knowledge of employeer - Ms in
	- Testing YesNo NA
	If yes, list test method used: NA NA NA
d.	Does the generator determine if concentration levels in PFLT extract exceed cyanide and metals concentration levels?
	Yes No NA
-	If yes, list test method used and constituent and concentration levels that exceeded prohibition levels:
e. D	oes the generator dilute the waste as a substitute for adequate eatment [268.3]?
	Yes No NA

C.	Management
----	------------

1.	On-Site	Management
----	---------	------------

Is waste that exceeds the treatment standards treated, stored, or disposed on-site?

_ Yes

If yes, the TSD Checklist must be completed.

2, Off-Site Management

Does the generator ship any waste that exceeds the treatment standards to an off-site treatment or storage facility?

Yes _ No

If yes, does the generator provide notification to the treatment or storage facility [268.7(a)(1)]?

Yes

If yes, does notification contain the following?

EPA Hazardous waste number(s) ____ Yes

Applicable treatment standards ____ Yes

Manifest number ___ No

Waste analysis data, if available ___ No

Identify off-site treatment or storage facilities: b.

Does the generator ship any waste that meets the treatment standards to an off-site disposal facility?

Yes

If yes, does the generator provide notification and certification to the disposal facility [268.7(a)(2)]?

____ Yes ____ No ___ No

APPENDIX A

SOLVENT IDENTIFICATION CHECKLIST

1.	1. Does the handler generate any of the following F001 constituents (i.e., spent halogenated solvents used in degreasing) as a result of being used in the process either in pure form or commercial grade?		
2.	tetrachloroethylene trichloroethylene methylene chloride l,l,l-trichloroethane carbon tetrachloride chlorinated fluorocarbons Does the handler generate any constituents (i.e., spent halogen being used in the process either commercial grade?	YesNo YesNo YesNo YesNo YesNo YesNo YesNo of the following F002 ated solvents) as a result of r in pure form or	
3.	tetrachloroethylene trichloroethylene methylene chloride 1,1,1-trichloroethane chlorobenzene trichlorofluoromethane 1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroetha ortho-dichlorobenzene Does the handler generate any o constituents (i.e., spent nonhalogo result of being used in the proce commercial grade?	Yes No f the following F003	
a e e m n cy m	exylene acctone acthyl acctate athyl benzene athyl ether methyl isobutyl ketone achutyl alcohol yclohexanone methanol the F003 waste stream has been bes the resultant mixture exhibit aracteristic?	YesNo X YesNo No mixed with a solid waste, the ignitability YesNo	

4.	Does the handler generate any constituents (i.e., spent nonhal result of being used in the procommercial grade?	of the following F004 ogenated solvents) as a occess either in pure form or
	cresols and cresylic acid nitrobenzene	YesNo
5,	Does the handler generate any constituents (i.e., spent nonhalo result of being used in the processing the process of the proc	of the following F005
6.	toluene methyl ethyl ketone carbon disulfide isobutanol pyridine Are any of the correit	YesNoYesNoYesNoYesNoYesNo
	(dissolve) or mobilize other consi questions will be helpful in conf	tituents? The following irming this determination
	(a) Are the constituents used as If yes, list the constituents. $\frac{\beta l}{l}$	s chemical carriers?YesNo
	yes, list the constituents.	r degreasing/cleaning?YesNo
	Are the constituents used as of yes, list the constituents.	liluents?YesNo
(d)	Are the constituents used as ex	tractants? YesNo

	If yes, list the constituents.
	$ \theta^{(1)}$
	(e) Are the constituents used for fabric scouring? Yes No
	If yes, list the constituents.
	(f) Are the constituents used as reaction and synthesis media. YesNo
	If yes, list the constituents.
	A//
	is considered "spent" when it has been used and is no longer usable without being regenerated, reclaimed, or otherwise
	Yes No
₹.	If the waste is a mixture of constituents as determined in questions I through 6, give the consents.
	questions I through 6, give the concentration before use of all the constituents in the solvent mixture/blend. For example:
	5% methylene chloride
	trichloroethylene
	25% 1,1,1-trichloroethane
	100% mineral spirits
	If the waste stream is a mixture containing a total of 10% or more (by volume) of one or more of the
	or more (by volume) of one or more of the FOOL more
	or F005 listed constituents before use, it is a listed waste.
	or F005 listed constituents before use, it is a listed waste. With respect to the F002 and
	or F005 listed constituents before use, it is a listed waste. With respect to the F003 solvent wastes, if, before use, the
	or F005 listed constituents before use, it is a listed waste.
	or F005 listed constituents before use, it is a listed waste. With respect to the F003 solvent wastes, if, before use, the

16%

<u>51%</u> 100% methanol

ethyl ether

APPENDIX B
TREATMENT STANDARDS FOR F-SOLVENTS

F001-F005 SPENT SOLVENTS	CONCENTRATION (IN MG/L)		
	WASTEWATERS	OTHER WASTES	
Acetone	0.05		
N-butyl	5.0	0.59	
Carbon disulfide		5.0	
Carbon tetrachloride	1.05	4.81	
Chlorobenzene	.05	.96	
Cresols (and cresylic acid)	.15	.05	
Cycohexanone	2.82	.75	
1,2-dichlorobenzene	.125	.75	
Ethyl acetate	.65	.125	
Ethyl benzene	.05	.75	
Ethyl ether	.05	.053	
sobutanol	.05	.75	
Methanol	5.0	5.0	
Methylene chloride	.25	.75	
	.20	.96	
fethylene chloride (from the pharmace industry)	cutical		
lethyl ethyl ketone	12.7	.96	
ethyl isobutyl ketone	0.05	0.75	
itrobenzene	0.05	.33	
ridine	0.66	0.125	
trachloroethylene	1.12	0.33	
bluene	0.079	0.05	
,1-Trichloroethane	1.12	0.33	
2-Trichlo-122	1.05	0.41	
,2-Trichlor 1,2,2-trifluoroethane ichloroethylene	1.05	0.96	
chloroftungene	0.062	0.091	
chlorofluoromethane lene	0.05	0.96	
	0.05	0.15	

ELL RONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, REGION 11 NEW YORK, NY.

1987 JUN 30 AM 11: 53

PERMITS AUMINISTRATION DRANCH

CERTIFIED MAIL--RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Howard B. Epstein, Esq. Levy, Bivona & Cohen 10 East 40th Street New York, New York 10016 File NJD065827925

Re: In the Matter of CPC International Best Foods Unit Docket No. II RCRA-85-0122

Dear Mr. Epstein:

Enclosed is a copy of the Consent Agreement and Final Order in the above-referenced proceeding, signed by the Deputy Regional Administrator for Region II of the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

Please note that payment is due within thirty (30) days of the date on which the Deputy Regional Administrator signed the enclosed Consent Agreement and Final Order. Please arrange for payment of this penalty according to the instructions given in the Consent Agreement.

Sincerely yours,

Stuart R. Deans Assistant Regional Counsel Waste and Toxic Substances Branch Office of Regional Counsel

Enclosure

cc: Gerard Burke, NJDEP

bcc: Bob Small, (WH-527)
Laura Livingston, 2PM-PA v
Ronald Gherardi, 2PM-FIN
Hon. Gerald Harwood
Nereida Sotomayor, RHC

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION II

In the Matter of

CPC INTERNATIONAL BEST FOODS UNIT : Union, New Jersey 07083 : NJD065827925

Respondent.

Proceeding Under Section 3008 of : the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as : amended.

CONSENT AGREEMENT
AND
CONSENT ORDER

Docket No. II RCRA-85-0122

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

This administrative proceeding was instituted pursuant to Section 3008 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 ("HSWA"), codified at 42 U.S.C. §§6901 et seg. ("The Act").

The Director of the Air and Waste Managment Division of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") Region II, Complainant in this proceeding, issued a Complaint, Compliance Order and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Respondent on September 30, 1985. Said document charged Respondent with certain violations of the New Jersey Solid Waste Management Act, N.J.S.A. 13:1E-1 et seq., and the regulations promulgated thereunder, as follows:

- 1. Respondent operates a facility located at 1120 Commerce Avenue, Union, New Jersey 07083.
- 2. By notification dated August 18, 1980, Respondent informed EPA that it conducts activities at the facility involving "hazardous waste," as that term is defined in Section 1004(5) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. §6903(5) and in 40 CFR §261.3. By application dated November 17, 1980, Respondent requested a permit to conduct its hazardous waste activities.
- 3. New Jersey Administrative Code (N.J.A.C.) Title 7, Chapter 26, sets standards for hazardous waste treatment, storage and disposal facilities.
- 4. N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8 requires the owner or operator of a hazardous waste treatment, storage or disposal facility to prepare and maintain at the facility a copy of a plan to close the facility in a manner that minimizes or eliminates the post-closure escape of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents. This section also sets forth the elements that must be included in a closure plan.
- 5. N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.10 requires the owner or operator of a hazardous waste facility to have a written estimate of the costs of closing the facility. This section also sets forth the elements that must be included in the cost estimate.
- 6. Section 3007 of the Act, 42 U.S.C. §6927, allows EPA to request certain information from parties that handle hazardous waste. On or about June 20, 1985, EPA requested that Respondent

submit a copy of the closure plan and cost estimate for review.

7. On or about June 21, 1985, Respondent submitted a copy of the closure plan and cost estimate to EPA. Based upon a review of the closure plan and cost estimate, EPA has determined that Respondent did not provide sufficient information to meet the requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8 and 9. 10. EPA made a determination that Respondent was in violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8 and 7:26-9.10.

CONSENT AGREEMENT

Based on the foregoing, and pursuant to Section 3008 of the Act, and Section 22.18 of the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation or Suspension of Permits, 40 CFR 22.18, it is hereby agreed that Respondent shall hereafter comply with all relevant regulations promulgated pursuant to New Jersey law and the following terms:

1. For the purpose of this proceeding and in the interest of settling this matter expeditiously, Respondent (a) admits the jurisdictional allegations of the Complaint; (b) admits the factual statements contained in this Agreement; and (c) neither concedes nor contests the conclusions of law contained in the Complaint or this Agreement.

- 2. Respondent expressly waives the right to request a hearing on any issue contained in this Agreement.
- 3. Respondent consents to the issuance and terms of the Final Order hereinafter recited and to the assessment of the stated penalty set forth herein.
- 4. Respondent shall, by the effective date of the accompanying Order, submit to EPA a closure plan/cost estimate sufficient to meet the requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8 and 9.10.
- 5. Respondent shall continue to maintain, amend and update the closure plan and cost estimate as required by N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.9 and 9.10.
- 6. Respondent shall, within 30 days after receipt of the fully executed Consent Agreement and Consent Order, file an Amended Part A which shall reduce the maximum amount of hazardous waste to be stored at the facility to 400 gallons.

Within sixty (60) days after receipt of a signed and executed copy of this Consent Agreement and Consent Order, Respondent shall pay, by cashier's or certified check, a civil penalty for the alleged violations herein cited in the amount of Three Thousand dollars (\$3,000.00), payable to the "Treasurer, United States of America." Such payment shall be remitted to the EPA - Region II, (Regional Hearing Clerk), P.O. Box 360188M, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, 15251. Failure to either remit such payment in full or to fully comply with the terms of this Agreement will

Attorney for appropriate action. Furthermore, if payment is not received by the due date established herein, interest will be assessed on the overdue payment for each thirty (30) day period or portion thereof. The interest rate assessed will be based on the current value of funds to the U.S. Treasury at the time the Order is issued and will remain in effect until payment is received.

This Consent Agreement is being entered into by the parties in full settlement of all liabilities which might have attached as a result of the proceedings.

RESPONDENT:	BY:	For CRC INTERNATIONAL BEST FOODS UNIT
	TITLE:	VP R+D
	DATE:	6173/86
COMPLAINANT:	BY:	CONRAD SIMON Director Air & Waste Management Division

CONSENT ORDER

The Regional Administrator of EPA, Region II, concurs in the foregoing Consent Agreement. The Agreement entered into by the parties is hereby approved and incorporated herein. This Order is hereby issued effective immediately. So Ordered.

CHRISTOPHER J. DAGGETT
Regional Administrator
U.S. Environmental Protection
Agency
Region II
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10278

DATE: 4 - 25 - 57

FEB 0 4 1988

State of New Versey

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

DIVISION OF HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT

John J. Trela, Ph.D., Director 401 East State St. CN 028 Trenton, N.J. 08625 609 - 633 - 1408

Keith J. Casey, Environmental Coordinator Best Foods Research & Engineering Center P.O. Box 1534 1120 Commerce Avenue Union, NJ 07083-5088

Dear Mr. Casey:

RE: Hazardous Waste TSD Facility Classification and Permitting Requirements for Best Foods Research and Engineering Center, Union, Union County, EP ID NO. NJD 065 827 925

The Bureau of Hazardous Waste Engineering of the Division of Hazardous Waste Management has reviewed your letter of January 18, 1988 in which you requested reclassification of the subject facility from a hazardous waste treatment, storage or disposal (TSD) facility to a "generator only" status.

The RCRA Part A application filed with the USEPA on November 19, 1980 listed the subject Best Foods Plant as a TSD facility with only a hazardous waste storage in containers activity (SO1) of 1,500 gallons.

On January 21, 1988, a site visit of the subject facility was conducted by Bob Patel of my staff who observed one (1) area, approximately 8' x 9', for storage of hazardous waste in containers. This area was located within a Solvent Storage Building and there were no visible cracks and no visible stains, spills or leaks on the surface of the concrete pad. Also, there were three (3) 55-gallon containers on storage pad with various accumulation start dates in excess of 90 days marked and properly labelled. The Bureau concludes that cleanup of the hazardous waste storage area is not required.

In order to reclassify the subject facility from a hazardous waste TSD facility to a generator, the facility must comply with N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.3(a), and the Bureau will have to process the change as a termination of TSD status, including a public notice and thirty (30) day public comment period on the change from TSD status to "generator only" status.

Additionally, your company should explain how it will arrange for removal of the hazardous waste containers in less than 90 day intervals, since the hazardous waste containers were in the past held in excess of 90 days as noted during the site visit of January 21, 1988.

Since the Part B call-in date was December 30, 1987 and the company does not wish to maintain TSD status, Best Foods Research of Engineering Center must achieve compliance with the provisions of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.3(a), "Accumulation of Hazardous Waste for 90 days or less", by March 30, 1988 (90 days after the date of the Part B call-in notice), which include the requirements:

- 1. All such waste is, within 90 days or less, shipped off-site to an authorized facility or placed in an on-site authorized facility, as defined at N.J.A.C. 7:26-1.4.
- 2. The waste is placed in containers which meet the standards of N.J.A.C. 7:26-7.2 and are managed in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.4(d).
- 3. The date upon which each period of accumulation begins is clearly marked and visible for inspection on each container.
- 4. The generator complies with the requirements for owners and operators of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.6 and 9.7 concerning preparedness and prevention, contingency plans and emergency procedures as well as N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.4(g) concerning personnel training.

Finally, your company is hereby requested to provide, within thirty (30) days from the date of this letter, a plan for maintaining compliance with the requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.3(a). The Bureau will proceed with the issuance of the public notice of the proposed termination of TSD status for the subject facility after this plan has been reviewed.

If you have any questions on this matter, please contact Bob Patel of my staff at (609) 292-9880.

Very truly yours,

Emost of Rudlweing

Ernest J. Kuhlwein, Jr., Chief

Bureau of Hazardous Waste Engineering

EP9/slw

c: Barry Tornick, USEPA Tony Cavalier, MFO

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION II

In the Matter of

COMPLAINT, COMPLIANCE ORDER, AND NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY

CPC INTERNATIONAL -BEST FOODS UNIT UNION, NEW JERSEY 07083 FOR HEARING

NJD065827925

Respondent.

Docket No. II RCRA-85-0122

Proceeding Under Section 3008 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

COMPLAINT

This administrative proceeding is instituted pursuant to Section 3008 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), 42 U.S.C. §6901 et seq.

Section 3006(b) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. §6926(b), provides that the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") may, if certain criteria are met, authorize a State to operate a hazardous waste program in lieu of the federal program. The Administrator authorized the State of New Jersev to operate a program in lieu of the federal program on February 2, 1983. The State of New Jersey received final authorization to administer its hazardous waste program on February 21, 1985. Section 3008 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. §6928, authorizes EPA to enforce the provisions of the authorized State program.

The Director of the Air and Waste Management Division of the EPA, Region II, Complainant in this proceeding, has determined that Respondent, CPC INTER-NATIONAL - BEST FOODS UNIT, has violated the New Jersey Solid Waste Management Act, N.J.S.A. 13:1E et seq., and the regulations promulgated thereunder, as hereinafter specified:

Respondent owns and operates a facility located at:

1120 Commerce Avenue Union, New Jersey 07083

2. By notification dated August 18, 1980, Respondent informed EPA that it conducts activities at the facility involving "hazardous waste," as that term is defined in Section 1004(5) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. §6903(5) and in 40 CFR §261.3. By application dated November 17, 1980, Respondent requested a permit to conduct its hazardous waste activities.

- 3. New Jersey Administrative Code (N.J.A.C.) Title 7, Chapter 26, sets standards for hazardous waste treatment, storage and disposal facilities.
- 4. N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8 requires the owner or operator of a hazardous waste treatment, storage or disposal facility to prepare and maintain at the facility a copy of a plan to close the facility in a manner that minimizes or eliminates the post-closure release of hazardous waste or waste constituents. This section also sets forth elements that must be included in a closure plan.
- 5. N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.10 requires that the owner or operator of a hazardous waste facility have a written estimate of the costs of closing the facility. This section also sets forth the elements that must be included in the cost estimate.
- 6. Section 3007 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. §6927, allows EPA to request certain information from parties that handle hazardous waste. On or about June 20, 1985, EPA requested Respondent to submit a copy of the closure plan and cost estimate for review.
- 7. On or about June 21, 1985, Respondent submitted a copy of the closure plan/cost estimate to EPA. EPA's review of the closure plan/cost estimate established that Respondent did not provide sufficient information to meet the requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8 and 9.10 respectively. The outstanding deficiencies and/or omissions are attached hereto (see Exhibit A). Therefore, Respondent is in violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8 and 7:26-9.10.

PROPOSED CIVIL PENALTY

In view of the above cited violations, and pursuant to the authority of Section 3008 of RCRA, Complainant herewith proposes the assessment of a civil penalty in the amount of seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$7,500.00) against Respondent for the violations specified hereinabove as follows:

- for the violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8
- for the violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.10

\$ 6,500.00

\$ 7,500.00

COMPLIANCE ORDER

Based upon the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority of Section 3008 of RCRA, Complainant herewith issues the following Compliance Order against Respondent herein:

Respondent shall, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Compliance Order, submit a closure plan sufficient to meet the requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8; and a cost estimate sufficient to meet the requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.10.

NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR ADDITIONAL CIVIL PENALTIES

Pursuant to the terms of Section 3008(c) of RCRA, a violator failing to take corrective action within the time specified in a Final Compliance Order is liable for a civil penalty of up to \$25,000 for each day of continued noncompliance. Such continued noncompliance may also result in suspension or revocation of any permits issued to the violator pursuant to the authority of RCRA.

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING

As provided in Section 3008(b) of RCRA, and in accordance with EPA's Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation or Suspension of Permits, 40 CFR Part 22, 45 Fed. Reg. 24363 (April 9, 1980) (a copy of which accompanies this Complaint, Compliance Order, and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing), you have the right to request a hearing to contest any material fact set out in the Complaint, or to contest the appropriateness of the proposed penalty, or the terms of the Compliance Order. (Consistent with the provisions of Section 3008(b) of RCRA, the hearing provided will be noticed and open to the general public, should you specifically request such a public hearing. In the absence of such a specific request, however, public notice of a scheduled hearing will not be published.)

To avoid being found in default, and having the proposed civil penalty assessed and the Compliance Order confirmed without further proceedings, you must file a written answer to the Complaint, which may include a request for a hearing. Your answer (if any) must be addressed to the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, New York 10278, and must be filed within thirty (30) days of your receipt of this Complaint, Compliance Order, and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing. Your answer must clearly and directly admit, deny or explain each of the factual allegations contained in the Complaint, and should contain (1) a clear statement of the facts which constitute the grounds of your defense, and (2) a concise statement of the contentions which you intend to place in issue at the hearing.

The denial of any material fact, or the raising of any affirmative defense, will be construed as a request for a hearing. Failure to deny any of the factual allegations in the Complaint will be deemed to constitute an admission of the undenied allegations. Your failure to file a written answer within thirty (30) days of receipt of this instrument will be deemed to represent your admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint, and a waiver of your right to a formal hearing to contest any of the facts alleged by the Complainant. Your default will result in the final issuance of the Compliance Order, and assessment of the proposed civil penalty, without further proceedings.

INFORMAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

Whether or not you request a hearing, the EPA encourages settlement of this proceeding consistent with the provisions of RCRA. At an informal conference with a representative of the Complainant you may comment on the charges and provide whatever additional information you feel is relevant to the disposition of this matter, including any actions you have taken to correct the violation, and any other special circumstances you care to raise. The Complainant has the authority to modify the amount of the proposed penalty, where appropriate, to

reflect any settlement agreement reached with you in such conference, or to recommend that any or all of the charges be dismissed, if the circumstances so warrant. Your request for an informal conference and other questions that you may have regarding this Complaint, Compliance Order, and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing should be directed to Mr. Stuart Deans, Attorney, Waste and Toxic Substances Branch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, New York 10278, telephone (212) 264-5340.

Please note that a request for an informal settlement conference does not extend the thirty (30) day period during which a written answer and request for a hearing must be submitted. The informal conference procedure may be pursued as an alternative to or simultaneously with the adjudicatory hearing procedure. However, no penalty reduction will be made simply because such a conference is held. Any settlement which may be reached as a result of such conference will be embodied in a written Consent Agreement and Final Compliance Order to be issued by the Regional Administrator of EPA, Region II, and signed by you or your representative. Your signing of such Consent Agreement would constitute a waiver of your right to request a hearing on any matter stipulated to therein.

RESOLUTION OF THIS PROCEEDING WITHOUT HEARING OR CONFERENCE

Instead of filing an answer requesting a hearing or requesting an informal settlement conference, you may choose to comply with the terms of the Compliance Order, and to pay the proposed penalty. In that case, payment should be made by sending to the EPA - Region II, (Regional Hearing Clerk), P.O. Box 360188M, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15251, a cashier's or certified check in the amount of the penalty specified in the "Proposed Civil Penalty" section of this instrument. Your check must be made payable to the Treasurer of the United States of America.

DATED:

COMPLAINANT:

CONRAD SIMON

Director

Air and Waste Management Division Environmental Protection Agency Region II

TO: Mr. Keith Casey
Environmental Coordinator
Best Foods Research &
Engineering Center
1120 Commerce Avenue
Union, New Jersey 07083

September 30, 1988

cc: John H. Skoviak, Assistant Chief Compliance & Enforcement, NJDEP

> Gerard Burke, Deputy Director Office of Regulatory Services, NJDEP

bcc: Sam Ezekwo, (2AWM-SW)
Laura Livingston, (2OPM-PA)
Stan Siegel, (2AWM-SW)
Stuart Deans, (2ORC-WTS)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on the 301 day of Splember 1985, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing complaint by certified mail to Mr. Keith Casey, 1120 commerce Avenue, Union, New Jersey 07083. I handcarried the original foregoing Complaint to the Regional Hearing Clerk.

Verna M. Kasey

EXHIBIT A

CPC INTERNATIONAL - BEST FOODS UNIT EPA I.D. NO. NJD065827925

The following deficiencies and/or omissions have been noted in the Best Foods Unit's closure plan and cost estimate dated June 21, 1985.

Facility Condition

- Description of hazardous waste storage area including location, size and supporting surface material;
- Description of any discharge containment systems on-site;
- Milestone chart for final closure including dates for final generation of waste, completion of inventory, final decontamination of facility and completion of closure;
- Classification of hazardous waste including containerization and removal methods and amount of each type of waste;
- Estimate of the year of closure (use operating or economic life, if applicable);
- List of all auxiliary equipment required for waste/soil removal and decontamination processes;
- · Certification of closure; and
- Description of security during closure.

Removing All Inventory/Waste

- Quantity of decontamination wastewater/solvent and residues;
- Estimate of the amount of contaminated soil; and
- Estimate of the maximum inventory of waste in containers.

Decontamination of Facility

• Area of the facility with potential soil contamination or justification for assuming no soil contamination exists (i.e., drum storage area, loading and unloading area, etc.);

- Methods and procedures for removing and disposing of contaminated soil, if applicable;
- Soil sample test locations, depths, analysis to be conducted and rationale for the selection of these parameters;
- Methods and procedures for decontamination of any auxiliary equipment;
- Procedures for decontamination of container storage area; and
- Methods for disposal of decontamination wastewater/solvent and residues.

Cost Estimate

- Substantiation and itemization of closure cost estimate to ensure proper estimation. This estimate should include the following items which were omitted from the cost estimate submitted:
 - Disposal of decontamination wastewater/solvent and residues
 - Soil sampling, analysis and removal
 - Professional engineer's certification
 - Decontamination equipment, if required
 - Decontamination or removal of all equipment including piping, auxiliary equipment, etc.
 - Contingency cost
 - Security cost
 - Manpower: 1) Contractor cost, if applicable
 - 2) Administrative cost

ATTACHMENT 1

REASONING BEHIND PROPOSED PENALTY

Pursuant to 40 CFR §22.14(4) and (5), EPA is providing you this statement explaining the reasoning behind the proposed penalty assessed for each violation cited in this Complaint. Attached to this Complaint you will find the EPA Penalty Computation Worksheet ("worksheet") for each violation for which you have been assessed a proposed penalty. (Attachment 2.)

RCRA Section 3008(a)(3) states that the seriousness of the violation must be taken into account in assessing penalties. The seriousness of a violation is based on the potential for harm and extent of deviation from a statutory or regulatory requirement, which provide the basis for determining the gravity-based penalty.

EPA is providing you with a copy of the Penalty Assessment Matrix from which the Matrix Cell Range was selected (Attachment 3) as well as the following explanation of each entry on the worksheet:

- 1) For violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8.
- ° The "Potential for Harm" present in this violation was determined to be Moderate because of the fact that the adverse effect non-compliance has on the statutory or regulating purposes or procedures for implementing the RCRA program.
- The "Extent of Deviation" present in this violation was determined to be Moderate because of the fact that a closure plan was submitted but significant aspects of closure plan were omitted.

- 2) For violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.10.
- o The "Potential for Harm" present in this violation was determined to be Minor because of the fact that the adverse effect non-compliance has on the statutory or regulating purposes or procedures for implementing the RCRA program.
- The "Extent of Deviation" present in this violation was determined to be Moderate because of the fact that a closure cost estimate was submitted but a significant number of costly items were omitted.

The "Total Penalty Amount" of \$7,500.00 based on the above factors, was determined to be the appropriate penalty for Respondent's violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8 and 7:26-10.

ATTACHMENT 2

PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET

Company Name: Best Foods Research & Engineering Center					
Regulation Violated: N.J.A.C	. 7:26	-9.8			
Assessments for each violation should be determined on separate worksheets and totalled.					
<u> Part I - Seriousne</u>	Part I - Seriousness of Violation Penalty				
1. Potential for Harm:		Moderate			
2. Extent of Deviation:		Moderate			
3. Matrix Cell Range:		\$7,999 - \$5,000			
Penalty Amount Chosen:		\$6,500			
Justification for Penalty Amount Chosen:		No mention of the maximum unit of waste inventory at closure			
4. Per-Day Assessment:		\$6,500			
Part II - Penalty Adjustments					
Percentage Change* Dollar Amount					
<pre>1. Good faith efforts to comply/lack of good faith:</pre>	R	eserved	N/A		
<pre>2. Degree of willfulness and/or negligence:</pre>	Reserved N/A				
3. History of noncompliance:	Reserved		N/A		
4. Other unique factors:	Reserved N/A				
5. Justification for Adjustments:					
* Percentage adjustments are applied to the dollar amount calculated on line 4, Part I.					

PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET (Cont.)

6. Adjusted Per-Day Penalty (Line 4, Part I & Lines 1-4, Part II):	Reserved
7. Number of Days of Violation:	Reserved
8. Multi-day Penalty (Number of days x Line 6, Part II):	Reserved
9. Economic Benefit of Noncompliance:	Reserved
Justification:	
10. Total (Lines 8 & 9, Part II):	00
ll. Ability to Pay Adjustment:	
Justification for Adjustment:	N/A
12. Total Penalty Amount (must not exceed \$25,000 per day of violation):	\$6,500

PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET

Company Name: Best Foods Research & Engineering Center					
Regulation Violated: N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.10					
Assessments for each violation worksheets and totalled.	shoul	d be determine	ed on separate		
Part I - Seriousn	ess of	Violation Per	nalty		
1. Potential for Harm:		Minor	<u>:</u>		
2. Extent of Deviation:		Modera	ate		
3. Matrix Cell Range:		\$500 - \$1,499			
Penalty Amount Chosen:		\$1,0	00		
Justification for Penalty Amount Chosen:		Insufficient justification of cost.			
4. Per-Day Assessment: \$1,000					
Part II - Pe	nalty	Adjustments			
	Perce	ntage Change*	Dollar Amount		
<pre>1. Good faith efforts to comply/lack of good faith:</pre>	R	eserved	N/A		
<pre>2. Degree of willfulness and/or negligence:</pre>	Re	eserved	N/A		
3. History of noncompliance:	R	eserved	N/A		
4. Other unique factors:	e factors: Reserved N/A				
5. Justification for Adjustments:					
* Percentage adjustments are applied to the dollar amount calculated on line 4, Part I.					

PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET (Cont.)

6. Adjusted Per-Day Penalty (Line 4, Part I & Lines 1-4, Part II):	Reserved
7. Number of Days of Violation:	Reserved
8. Multi-day Penalty (Number of days x Line 6, Part II):	Reserved
9. Economic Benefit of Noncompliance:	Reserved
Justification:	
10. Total (Lines 8 & 9, Part II):	00
11. Ability to Pay Adjustment:	,
Justification for Adjustment:	N/A
12. Total Penalty Amount (must not exceed \$25,000 per day of violation):	\$1,000

MATRIX

Extent of Deviation from Requirement

MAJOR	MODERATE	MINOR
\$25,000 to 20,000	\$19,999 to 15,000	\$14,999
\$10,999 to 8,000	\$7,999 to 5,000	\$4,999 to 3,000
\$2,999 to 1,500	\$1,499 to 500	\$499 to 100
	00 66	

Potential for Harm

Held

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION II

In the Matter of

COMPLAINT, COMPLIANCE ORDER,
AND NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY
FOR HEARING

CPC INTERNATIONAL BEST FOODS UNIT
UNION, NEW JERSEY 07083
NJD065827925

Respondent.

Docket No. II RCRA-85-0122

Proceeding Under Section 3008 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

COMPLAINT

This administrative proceeding is instituted pursuant to Section 3008 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), 42 U.S.C. §6901 et seq.

Section 3006(b) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. §6926(b), provides that the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") may, if certain criteria are met, authorize a State to operate a hazardous waste program in lieu of the federal program. The Administrator authorized the State of New Jersey to operate a program in lieu of the federal program on February 2, 1983. The State of New Jersey received final authorization to administer its hazardous waste program on February 21, 1985. Section 3008 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. §6928, authorizes EPA to enforce the provisions of the authorized State program.

The Director of the Air and Waste Management Division of the EPA, Region II, Complainant in this proceeding, has determined that Respondent, CPC INTERNATIONAL - BEST FOODS UNIT, has violated the New Jersey Solid Waste Management Act, N.J.S.A. 13:1E et seq., and the regulations promulgated thereunder, as hereinafter specified:

1. Respondent owns and operates a facility located at:

1120 Commerce Avenue Union, New Jersey 07083

2. By notification dated August 18, 1980, Respondent informed EPA that it conducts activities at the facility involving "hazardous waste," as that term is defined in Section 1004(5) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. §6903(5) and in 40 CFR §261.3. By application dated November 17, 1980, Respondent requested a permit to conduct its hazardous waste activities.

- 3. New Jersey Administrative Code (N.J.A.C.) Title 7, Chapter 26, sets standards for hazardous waste treatment, storage and disposal facilities.
- 4. N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8 requires the owner or operator of a hazardous waste treatment, storage or disposal facility to prepare and maintain at the facility a copy of a plan to close the facility in a manner that minimizes or eliminates the post-closure release of hazardous waste or waste constituents. This section also sets forth elements that must be included in a closure plan.
- 5. N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.10 requires that the owner or operator of a hazardous waste facility have a written estimate of the costs of closing the facility. This section also sets forth the elements that must be included in the cost estimate.
- 6. Section 3007 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. §6927, allows EPA to request certain information from parties that handle hazardous waste. On or about June 20, 1985, EPA requested Respondent to submit a copy of the closure plan and cost estimate for review.
- 7. On or about June 21, 1985, Respondent submitted a copy of the closure plan/cost estimate to EPA. EPA's review of the closure plan/cost estimate established that Respondent did not provide sufficient information to meet the requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8 and 9.10 respectively. The outstanding deficiencies and/or omissions are attached hereto (see Exhibit A). Therefore, Respondent is in violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8 and 7:26-9.10.

PROPOSED CIVIL PENALTY

In view of the above cited violations, and pursuant to the authority of Section 3008 of RCRA, Complainant herewith proposes the assessment of a civil penalty in the amount of seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$7,500.00) against Respondent for the violations specified hereinabove as follows:

- for the violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8
- for the violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.10

\$ 6,500.00 1,000.00

\$ 7,500.00

COMPLIANCE ORDER

Based upon the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority of Section 3008 of RCRA, Complainant herewith issues the following Compliance Order against Respondent herein:

Respondent shall, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Compliance Order, submit a closure plan sufficient to meet the requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8; and a cost estimate sufficient to meet the requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.10.

NOTICE OF LIABILITY FOR ADDITIONAL CIVIL PENALTIES

Pursuant to the terms of Section 3008(c) of RCRA, a violator failing to take corrective action within the time specified in a Final Compliance Order is liable for a civil penalty of up to \$25,000 for each day of continued noncompliance. Such continued noncompliance may also result in suspension or revocation of any permits issued to the violator pursuant to the authority of RCRA.

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING

As provided in Section 3008(b) of RCRA, and in accordance with EPA's Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation or Suspension of Permits, 40 CFR Part 22, 45 Fed. Reg. 24363 (April 9, 1980) (a copy of which accompanies this Complaint, Compliance Order, and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing), you have the right to request a hearing to contest any material fact set out in the Complaint, or to contest the appropriateness of the proposed penalty, or the terms of the Compliance Order. (Consistent with the provisions of Section 3008(b) of RCRA, the hearing provided will be noticed and open to the general public, should you specifically request such a public hearing. In the absence of such a specific request, however, public notice of a scheduled hearing will not be published.)

To avoid being found in default, and having the proposed civil penalty assessed and the Compliance Order confirmed without further proceedings, you must file a written answer to the Complaint, which may include a request for a hearing. Your answer (if any) must be addressed to the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, New York 10278, and must be filed within thirty (30) days of your receipt of this Complaint, Compliance Order, and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing. Your answer must clearly and directly admit, deny or explain each of the factual allegations contained in the Complaint, and should contain (1) a clear statement of the facts which constitute the grounds of your defense, and (2) a concise statement of the contentions which you intend to place in issue at the hearing.

The denial of any material fact, or the raising of any affirmative defense, will be construed as a request for a hearing. Failure to deny any of the factual allegations in the Complaint will be deemed to constitute an admission of the undenied allegations. Your failure to file a written answer within thirty (30) days of receipt of this instrument will be deemed to represent your admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint, and a waiver of your right to a formal hearing to contest any of the facts alleged by the Complainant. Your default will result in the final issuance of the Compliance Order, and assessment of the proposed civil penalty, without further proceedings.

INFORMAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

Whether or not you request a hearing, the EPA encourages settlement of this proceeding consistent with the provisions of RCRA. At an informal conference with a representative of the Complainant you may comment on the charges and provide whatever additional information you feel is relevant to the disposition of this matter, including any actions you have taken to correct the violation, and any other special circumstances you care to raise. The Complainant has the authority to modify the amount of the proposed penalty, where appropriate, to

reflect any settlement agreement reached with you in such conference, or to recommend that any or all of the charges be dismissed, if the circumstances so warrant. Your request for an informal conference and other questions that you may have regarding this Complaint, Compliance Order, and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing should be directed to Mr. Stuart Deans, Attorney, Waste and Toxic Substances Branch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, New York 10278, telephone (212) 264-5340.

Please note that a request for an informal settlement conference does not extend the thirty (30) day period during which a written answer and request for a hearing must be submitted. The informal conference procedure may be pursued as an alternative to or simultaneously with the adjudicatory hearing procedure. However, no penalty reduction will be made simply because such a conference is held. Any settlement which may be reached as a result of such conference will be embodied in a written Consent Agreement and Final Compliance Order to be issued by the Regional Administrator of EPA, Region II, and signed by you or your representative. Your signing of such Consent Agreement would constitute a waiver of your right to request a hearing on any matter stipulated to therein.

RESOLUTION OF THIS PROCEEDING WITHOUT HEARING OR CONFERENCE

Instead of filing an answer requesting a hearing or requesting an informal settlement conference, you may choose to comply with the terms of the Compliance Order, and to pay the proposed penalty. In that case, payment should be made by sending to the EPA - Region II, (Regional Hearing Clerk), P.O. Box 360188M, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15251, a cashier's or certified check in the amount of the penalty specified in the "Proposed Civil Penalty" section of this instrument. Your check must be made payable to the Treasurer of the United States of America.

DATED:

COMPLAINANT:

tube 30,1988

CONRAD SIMON

Director

Air and Waste Management Division Environmental Protection Agency Region II

TO: Mr. Keith Casey
Environmental Coordinator
Best Foods Research &
Engineering Center
1120 Commerce Avenue
Union, New Jersey 07083

cc: John H. Skoviak, Assistant Chief Compliance & Enforcement, NJDEP

> Gerard Burke, Deputy Director Office of Regulatory Services, NJDEP

bcc: Sam Ezekwo, (2AWM-SW)
Laura Livingston, (2OPM-PA)
Stan Siegel, (2AWM-SW)
Stuart Deans, (2ORC-WIS)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on the 301 day of Splends.

1985, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing complaint by certified mail to Mr. Keith Casey, 1120 commerce Avenue, Union, New Jersey 07083. I handcarried the original foregoing Complaint to the Regional Hearing Clerk.

Verna M. Kary

EXHIBIT A

CPC INTERNATIONAL - BEST FOODS UNIT EPA I.D. NO. NJD065827925

The following deficiencies and/or omissions have been noted in the Best Foods Unit's closure plan and cost estimate dated June 21, 1985.

Facility Condition

- Description of hazardous waste storage area including location, size and supporting surface material;
- Description of any discharge containment systems on-site;
- Milestone chart for final closure including dates for final generation of waste, completion of inventory, final decontamination of facility and completion of closure;
- Classification of hazardous waste including containerization and removal methods and amount of each type of waste;
- Estimate of the year of closure (use operating or economic life, if applicable);
- List of all auxiliary equipment required for waste/soil removal and decontamination processes;
- Certification of closure; and
- Description of security during closure.

Removing All Inventory/Waste

- Quantity of decontamination wastewater/solvent and residues;
- Estimate of the amount of contaminated soil; and
- Estimate of the maximum inventory of waste in containers.

Decontamination of Facility

• Area of the facility with potential soil contamination or justification for assuming no soil contamination exists (i.e., drum storage area, loading and unloading area, etc.);

- Methods and procedures for removing and disposing of contaminated soil, if applicable;
- Soil sample test locations, depths, analysis to be conducted and rationale for the selection of these parameters;
- Methods and procedures for decontamination of any auxiliary equipment;
- Procedures for decontamination of container storage area; and
- Methods for disposal of decontamination wastewater/solvent and residues.

Cost Estimate

- Substantiation and itemization of closure cost estimate to ensure proper estimation. This estimate should include the following items which were omitted from the cost estimate submitted:
 - Disposal of decontamination wastewater/solvent and residues
 - Soil sampling, analysis and removal
 - Professional engineer's certification
 - Decontamination equipment, if required
 - Decontamination or removal of all equipment including piping, auxiliary equipment, etc.
 - Contingency cost
 - Security cost
 - Manpower: 1) Contractor cost, if applicable
 - 2) Administrative cost

ATTACHMENT 1

REASONING BEHIND PROPOSED PENALTY

Pursuant to 40 CFR §22.14(4) and (5), EPA is providing you this statement explaining the reasoning behind the proposed penalty assessed for each violation cited in this Complaint. Attached to this Complaint you will find the EPA Penalty Computation Worksheet ("worksheet") for each violation for which you have been assessed a proposed penalty. (Attachment 2.)

RCRA Section 3008(a)(3) states that the seriousness of the violation must be taken into account in assessing penalties. The seriousness of a violation is based on the potential for harm and extent of deviation from a statutory or regulatory requirement, which provide the basis for determining the gravity-based penalty.

EPA is providing you with a copy of the Penalty Assessment Matrix from which the Matrix Cell Range was selected (Attachment 3) as well as the following explanation of each entry on the worksheet:

- 1) For violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8.
- The "Potential for Harm" present in this violation was determined to be Moderate because of the fact that the adverse effect non-compliance has on the statutory or regulating purposes or procedures for implementing the RCRA program.
- The "Extent of Deviation" present in this violation was determined to be Moderate because of the fact that a closure plan was submitted but significant aspects of closure plan were omitted.

- 2) For violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.10.
- The "Potential for Harm" present in this violation was determined to be Minor because of the fact that the adverse effect non-compliance has on the statutory or regulating purposes or procedures for implementing the RCRA program.
- o The "Extent of Deviation" present in this violation was determined to be Moderate because of the fact that a closure cost estimate was submitted but a significant number of costly items were omitted.
- The "Total Penalty Amount" of \$7,500.00 based on the above factors, was determined to be the appropriate penalty for Respondent's violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8 and 7:26-10.

ATTACHMENT 2

PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET

Company Name: Best Foods Research & Engineering Center				
Regulation Violated: N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.8				
Assessments for each violation should be determined on separate worksheets and totalled.				
<u> Part I - Seriousn</u>	ess of	Violation Pena	lty	
1. Potential for Harm:		Moderat	e	
2. Extent of Deviation:		Moderat	<u>e</u>	
3. Matrix Cell Range:		\$7,999 -	\$5,000	
Penalty Amount Chosen:		\$6,50	0	
Justification for Penalty Amount Chosen:		No mention of the maximum unit of waste inventory at closure		
4. Per-Day Assessment: \$6,500			00	
Part II - Per	nalty .	Adjustments		
	Perce	ntage Change*	Dollar Amount	
<pre>l. Good faith efforts to comply/lack of good faith:</pre>	Re	eserved	N/A	
2. Degree of willfulness and/or negligence:	Re	eserved	N/A	
3. History of noncompliance:	Re	eserved	N/A	
4. Other unique factors:	Reserved N/A		N/A	
5. Justification for Adjustments:				
* Percentage adjustments are applied to the dollar amount calculated on line 4, Part I.				

PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET (Cont.)

6. Adjusted Per-Day Penalty (Line 4, Part I & Lines 1-4, Part II):	·Reserved
7. Number of Days of Violation:	Reserved
8. Multi-day Penalty (Number of days x Line 6, Part II):	Reserved
9. Economic Benefit of Noncompliance:	Reserved
Justification:	•
10. Total (Lines 8 & 9, Part II):	00
ll. Ability to Pay Adjustment:	
Justification for Adjustment:	N/A
12. Total Penalty Amount (must not exceed \$25,000 per day of violation):	\$6,500

PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET

Company Name: Best Foods Research & Engineering Center					
Regulation Violated: N.J.A.C. 7:26-9.10					
Assessments for each violation worksheets and totalled.					
Part I - Serious	ness of	Violation Per	nalty		
1. Potential for Harm:		Minor	<u> </u>		
2. Extent of Deviation:		Modera	ate		
3. Matrix Cell Range:		\$500 -	\$1,499		
Penalty Amount Chosen:		\$1,0	00,		
Justification for Penalty Amount Chosen:		Insufficient of cost.	justification		
4. Per-Day Assessment: \$1,000 Part II - Penalty Adjustments					
	Perce	ntage Change*	Dollar Amount		
<pre>1. Good faith efforts to comply/lack of good faith:</pre>		eserved	N/A		
<pre>2. Degree of willfulness and/or negligence:</pre>	Re	eserved	N/A		
3. History of noncompliance:	Re	eserved	N/A		
4. Other unique factors:	Re	eserved	N/A		
5. Justification for Adjustments:					
* Percentage adjustments are applied to the dollar amount calculated on line 4, Part I.					

PENALTY COMPUTATION WORKSHEET (Cont.)

6. Adjusted Per-Day Penalty (Line 4, Part I & Lines 1-4, Part II):	Reserved
7. Number of Days of Violation:	Reserved
8. Multi-day Penalty (Number of days x Line 6, Part II):	Reserved
9. Economic Benefit of Noncompliance:	Reserved
Justification:	•
10. Total (Lines 8 & 9, Part II):	00
11. Ability to Pay Adjustment:	
Justification for Adjustment:	N/A
12. Total Penalty Amount (must not exceed \$25,000 per day of violation):	\$1,000

MATRIX

Extent of Deviation from Requirement

	MINOR	\$14,999	\$4,999	\$499 50 100
••	MODERATE	\$19,999 to 15,000	\$7,999	\$1,499 to 500
	MAJOR	\$25,000	\$10,999 .	\$2,999 to 1,500
		MAJOR	MODERATE	MINOR

Potential for Harm

COST ESTIMATES FOR CLOSURE AND POST CLOSURE FACILITY ID NOO65827925 NEW ENTRY CHANGE ENTRY CURRENT CLOSURE COST ESTIMATE PRIOR CLOSURE COST ESTIMATE PRIOR CLOSURE COST ESTIMATE

PRIOR POST CLOSURE COST ESTIMATE

FACILITY ID	INSTRUMENT 6582792	IS FOR ASSURANCE		
NEW ENTRY	ζ	CODE	£	•
CHANGE ENTRY				
DELETE ENTRY _		SEQUENÇE NO	-	•
AMOUNT COVERED CL		₹\$\& STATUS	A	
AMOUNT COVERED PO	ST CLOSURE	MULTI FACILITY		
CURRENT VALUE CLO	SURE	reals suctified	IND	• •
CURRENT VALUE POS	T CLOSURE	FREE FIELD 1	_	
DATE EFFECTIVE	(2 h / 2 h	FREE FIELD 2		:
	822632	FREE FIELD 3		
DATE EXPIRES	821231			
TRUST FUND PAY-IN	PFDTOR	PAYMENTS FOR CL	-	
Instrument no		PAYMENTS FOR PO	ST CLOSURE	
COMMENT TEXT (80 CE	ARACTERS MAXIMUM):			•

INSTRUMENTS FOR INSURANCE	•	V
FACILITY ID NIO 065827925 CODE	EM	
NEW ENTRY SEQUENCE NO	•	
CHANGE ENTRY -		
DELETE ENTRY -		
AMT PER OCCURRENCE FOR SUDDEN _ / 2 2 2 2 2 0	STATUS	
ANNUAL AMT FOR SUDDEN _20000	MULTI FACILITY	TND
AMT PER OCCURRENCE FOR NONSUDDEN		-412
ANNUAL AMT FOR NONSUDDEN	FREE FIELD	-
D3.000 (5) ()	FREE FIELD	
DATE EXPIRES	FREE FIELD	
INSTRUMENT NO		
COMMENT TEXT (80 CHARACTERS MAXIMUM) :		

NJC) \$ 6	5	80	7	1/2
	,		ſ		•

NEW ENTRY	X.			
CHANGE ENTRY	-		SEQUENCE NO	,
DELETE ENTRY	-		SEQUENCE NO	
NAME MAIN		,,		
MAILING ADDRE	ss PACK_A	renve pl	AZA 52 55	
CITY NEV	YORK			re.
STATE M				
ZIP CODE /_ O	355	*		

FINANCIAL PARTIES

NEW ENTRY	-			
CHANGE ENTRY	-	SECUENCE		
DELETE ENTRY	-	SEQUENCE	NO	
NAME #				
MAILING ADDRES	s #			
CITY A				
STATE A	N			
ZIP CODE K	. · ·			

HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY CERTIFICATE of LIABILITY INSURANCE

☑Travelers Indemnity Compan ☐Travelers Indemnity Compan ☐The Phoenix Insurance Comp	y of America	□ Travel	ers Indemnity Company of ers Indemnity Company of r Oak Fire Insurance Comp	Illinois
	One Tower Squa	ure decticut 06115		
hereby certifies that it has property damage to	issued liabil	ity insurance co	overing bodily injury and	
Name		BEST FOODS RE	SEARCH CENTER	
Mail:	ing Address	P 0 BOX 1534	1120 COMMERCE AVE	
		UNION NJ 07		
in connection with the insure under 40 CFR 264.147 or 265.1	ed's obligation 47. The cover	n to demonstrate rage applies at	e financial responsibility	•
E.P.A. I.D. NUMBER	NAME	•	ADDRESS	
1. NJD 065827925	BEST FOODS R	ESEARCH CENTER	1120 COMMERCE AVE UNION NJ 07083	
2.				
for:				
sudden accidental ocnon-sudden accidentasudden and non-sudden	l occurrences	occurrences		
The limits of liability are	\$	000	_ each occurrence	·
	\$ 2,000,0	000	_ annual aggregate	
exclusive of legal defense co	sts. The cove	erage is provide	d under	
Policy	Number T-SLO	181T031−4 -82		
Issued	on <u>07-07</u>	'-8 2		
The effective date of said pol	licy is 07-01	- 82	•	

(CONTINUED ON REVERSE)

HEW YORK, HEW YORK 10007 PREHOY ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

JUL 15 12 03 PM '82

ИОПАЯТСІМІМОЯ ВТИАЙО ИОИАЯЯ П ИОІОЭЯ

MRPLN-120 07-08-82 The Insurer further certifies the following with respect to the insurance described on Page 1:

- (a) Bankruptcy or insolvency of the insured shall not relieve the Insurer of its obligations under the policy.
- (b) The Insurer is liable for the payment of amounts within any deductible applicable to the policy, with a right of reimbursement by the insured for

any such payment made by the Insurer. This provision does not apply with respect to that amount of any deductible for which coverage is demonstrated as specified in 40 CFR 264.147(f) o.: 265.147(f).

- (c) Whenever requested by a Regional Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Insurer agrees to furnish to the Regional Administrator a signed duplicate original of the policy and all endorsements.
- (d) Cancellation of the insurance, whether by the Insurer or the insured, will be effective only upon written notice and only after the expiration of sixty (60) days after a copy of such written notice is received by the Regional Administrator(s) of the EPA Region(s) in which the facility(ies) is (are) located.
- (e) Any other termination of the insurance will be effective only upon written notice and only after the expiration of thirty (30) days after a copy of such written notice is received by the Regional Administrator(s) of the EPA Region(s) in which the facility(ies) is (are) located.

I hereby certify that the wording of this instrument is identical to the wording specified in 40 CFR 264.151(j) as such regulation was constituted on the date first above written, and that the Insurer is licensed to transact the business of insurance, or eligible to provide insurance as an excess or surplus lines insurer, in one or more States.

John R. Kenney

Secretary, Authorized Representative of The Travelers Insurance Companies One Tower Square, Hartford, Connecticut 06115



Park Avenue Plaza, 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055, Telephone: 212/909-5000

June 30, 1982

Ms. Jacqueline Schafer
Regional Administrator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

Dear Ms. Schafer:

We have examined the consolidated balance sheet of CPC International Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 1981 and 1980, and the related consolidated statements of income and retained earnings and changes in financial position for each of the three years ended December 31, 1981 (the Financial Statements) and have issued our report thereon dated February 16, 1982. Our examination was made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and accordingly, included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We have reviewed the letter to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency dated June 30, 1982 (the Letter) from Gene A. Burns, the Company's Chief Financial Officer, concerning the Company's use of the financial test to demonstrate responsibility for liability coverage and closure care as specified in Subpart H of 40 CPR Parts 264 and 265 for the Best Foods Research and Engineering Center, 1120 Commerce Avenue, Union, New Jersey 07083, and concerning the Company's use of the corporate guarantee to demonstrate financial responsibility for closure care for Penick Corporation and Amerchol Corporation.

We have compared the data which the Letter specifies as having been derived from the independently audited, year end financial statements for the latest completed fiscal year, ended December 31, 1981, to the Financial Statements referred to above and in connection with this procedure no matters came to our attention which caused us to believe that the specified data included in the Letter should be adjusted.

Very truly yours,

MAIN HURDMAN

G. A. BURNS
Senior Vice President &
Chief Financial Officer

June 30, 1982

Ms. Jacqueline Schafer Regional Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 26 Federal Plaza New York, New York 10007

Dear Ms. Schafer:

I am the chief financial officer of CPC International Inc., International Plaza, P. O. Box 8000, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632. This letter is in support of the use of the financial test to demonstrate financial responsibility for liability coverage and closure care as specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265.

The owner or operator identified above is the owner or operator of the following facility for which liability coverage is being demonstrated through the financial test specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265:

Best Foods Research and Engineering Center 1120 Commerce Avenue Union, New Jersey 07083

EPA Identification No. NJD065827925

- 1. The owner or operator identified above owns or operates the following facilities for which financial assurance for closure or post-closure care is demonstrated through the financial test specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265. The current closure and/or post-closure cost estimates covered by the test are shown for each facility:
- † Best Foods Research and Engineering Center 1120 Commerce Avenue Union, New Jersey 07083

EPA Identification No. NJD065827925 Storage Facility Closure Care Cost Estimate: \$48,300 G. A. BURNS
Senior Vice President &
Chief Financial Officer

-2-

- The owner or operator identified above guarantees, through the corporate guarantee specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265, the closure or post-closure care of the following facilities owned or operated by its subsidiaries. The current cost estimates for the closure or post-closure care so guaranteed are shown for each facility:
 - † a. Penick Corporation 540 New York Avenue Lyndhurst, New Jersey 07071

EPA Identification No. NJD081894842 Closure Care Cost Estimate: \$127,000

↑ b. Penick Corporation 158 Mt. Olivet Avenue Newark, New Jersey 07114

> EPA Identification No. NJT000604058 Closure Care Cost Estimate: \$89,000

Penick Corporation215 Watchung AvenueOrange, New Jersey 07050

EPA Identification No. NJD064266687 Closure Care Cost Estimate: \$34,000

d. Amerchol Corporation
 Talmadge Road
 P. O. Box 351
 Edison, New Jersey 08817

EPA Identification No. NJD053099974 Closure Care Cost Estimate: \$104,000

G. A. BURNS
Senior Vice President &
Chief Financial Officer

-3-

3. In States where EPA is not administering the financial requirements of Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 or 265, this owner or operator is demonstrating financial assurance for the closure or post-closure care of the following facilities through the use of a test equivalent or substantially equivalent to the financial test specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265. The current closure and/or post-closure cost estimates covered by such a test are shown for each facility:

None

4. The owner or operator identified above owns or operates the following hazardous waste management facilities for which financial assurance for closure or, if a disposal facility, post-closure care, is not demonstrated either to EPA or a State through the financial test or any other financial assurance mechanism specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265 or equivalent or substantially equivalent State mechanisms. The current closure and/or post-closure cost estimates not covered by such financial assurance are shown for each facility:

None

This owner or operator is required to file a Form 10K with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for the latest fiscal year.

The fiscal year of this owner or operator ends on December 31. The figures for the following items marked with an asterisk are derived from this owner's or operator's independently audited, year-end financial statements for the latest completed fiscal year, ended December 31, 1981.

G. A. BURNS Vice President-Finance

-4-

Alternative 1

(Millions of Dollars)

		Controllation bollat
1.	Sum of current closure and post-closure cost estimates (total of all cost estimates listed	
2.	Amount of annual aggregate liability coverage	\$ 0.4023
	to be demonstrated	
3.	Sum of lines 1 and 2.	2.0
*4 .	Total liabilities (if any portion of the closure	2.4023
	or post-closure cost estimates is included in your	
	total liabilities, you may deduct that portion from	
	this line and add that amount to lines 5 and 6)	1 000 0
* 5.	langible net worth	1 000
*6.	Net worth	1,200.4
* 7.	Current assets	1,235.1
*8.	current Habilitles	700 4
9.	Net working capital (line 7 minus line g)	<u>706.4</u> 423.1
*10.	THE SUM OF NET INCOME DIUS depreciation depletion	
	and amortization	306.0
*11.	iveal assets III U.S. (remilized only if loca them one	
	of assets are located in the U.S.)	865.1

		Yes	<u>No</u>
14.	Is line 5 at least \$10 million? Is line 5 at least 6 times line 3? Is line 9 at least 6 times line 3? Are at least 90% of assets located in the U.S.?	X X X	
16. 17. 18.	If not, complete line 16 Is line 11 at least 6 times line 3? Is line 4 divided by line 6 less than 2.0? Is line 10 divided by line 4 greater than 0.1? Is line 7 divided by line 8 greater than 1.5?	X X X I X	Х

G. A. BURNS
Vice President-Finance

-5-

I hereby certify that the wording of this letter is identical to the wording specified in 40 CFR 264.151(g) as such regulations were constituted on the date shown immediately below.

Gene A. Burns

Nice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date

G.A. BURNS Vice President-Finance

Corporate Guarantee For Closure or Post-Closure Care

Guarantee made this date, July 1, 1982, by CPC International Inc., a business corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware; herein referred to as guarantor, to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), obligee, on behalf of our subsidiary, Penick Corporation of 1050 Wall Street West, Lyndhurst, New Jersey 07071.

Recitals:

- Guarantor meets or exceeds the financial test criteria and agrees to comply with the reporting requirements for guarantors as specified in 40 CFR 264.143(f), 264.145(f), 265.143(e), and 265.145(e).
- 2. Penick Corporation owns or operates the following hazardous waste management facilities covered by this guarantee:
 - a. Penick Corporation540 New York AvenueLyndhurst, New Jersey 07071

EPA Identification No. NJD081894842

Penick Corporation158 Mt. Olivet AvenueNewark, New Jersey 07114

EPA Identification No. NJT000604058

Penick Corporation215 Watchung AvenueOrange, New Jersey 07050

EPA Identification No. NJD064266687

Guarantee is for closure care for all facilities.

3. "Closure plans" and post-closure plans" as used below refer to the plans maintained as required by Subpart G of 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265 for the closure and post-closure care of facilities as identified above.

G. A. BURNS Vice President-Finance

-2-

- 4. For value received from Penick Corporation, guarantor guarantees to EPA that in the event that Penick Corporation fails to perform closure care of the above facilities in accordance with the closure or post-closure plans and other permit or interim status requirements whenever required to do so, the guarantor shall do so or establish a trust fund as specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 or 265, as applicable, in the name of Penick Corporation in the amount of the current closure or post-closure cost estimates as specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265.
- 5. Guarantor agrees that if, at the end of any fiscal year before termination of this guarantee, the guarantor fails to meet the financial test criteria, guarantor shall send within 90 days, by certified mail, notice to the EPA Regional Administrator for the Region in which the facilities are located and to Penick Corporation that he intends to provide alternate financial assurance as specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 or 265, as applicable, in the name of Penick Corporation. Within 120 days after the end of such fiscal year, the guarantor shall establish such financial assurance unless Penick Corporation has done so.
- 6. The guarantor agrees to notify the EPA Regional Administrator by certified mail, of a voluntary or involuntary proceeding under Title 11 (Bankruptcy), U.S. Code, naming guarantor as debtor, within 10 days after commencement of the proceeding.
- 7. Guarantor agrees that within 30 days after being notified by an EPA Regional Administrator of a determination that guarantor no longer meets the financial test criteria or that he is disallowed from continuing as a guarantor of closure or post-closure care, he shall establish alternate financial assurance as specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 or 265, as applicable, in the name of Penick Corporation unless Penick Corporation has done so.
- 8. Guarantor agrees to remain bound under this guarantee notwithstanding any or all of the following: amendment or modification of the closure or post-closure plan, amendment or
 modification of the permit, the extension or reduction of the
 time of performance of closure or post-closure, or any other
 modification or alteration of an obligation of the owner or
 operator pursuant to 40 CFR Parts 264 or 265.

G.A.BURNS Vice President-Finance

-3-

- 9. Guarantor agrees to remain bound under this guarantee for so long as Penick Corporation must comply with the applicable financial assurance requirements of Subpart H or 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265 for the above-listed facilities, except that guarantor may cancel this guarantee by sending notice by certified mail to the EPA Regional Administrator for the Region in which the facilities are located and to Penick Corporation, such cancellation to become effective no earlier than 120 days after receipt of such notice by both EPA and Penick Corporation as evidenced by the return receipts.
- 10. Guarantor agrees that if Penick Corporation fails to provide alternate financial assurance as specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 or 265, as applicable, and obtain written approval of such assurance from the EPA Regional Administrator within 90 days after a notice of cancellation by the guarantor is received by an EPA Regional Administrator from guarantor, guarantor shall provide such alternate financial assurance in the name of Penick Corporation.
- 11. Guarantor expressly waives notice of acceptance of this guarantee by the EPA or by Penick Corporation. Guarantor also expressly waives notice of amendments or modifications of the closure and/or post-closure plan and of amendments or modifications of the facility permits.

I hereby certify that the wording of this guarantee is identical to the wording specified in 40 CFR 264.151(h) as such regulations were constituted on the date first above written.

Effective date: July 1, 1982

Name of guarantor: CPC International Inc ${\cal Q}$

Authorized signature for guarantor:

Name of person signing: Gene A. Burns

Title of person signing: Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Signature of witness or notary:

CPC International Inc./ International Plaza Englewood Cliffs New Jersey 07632

G. A. BURNS
Vice President-Finance





State of New Jersey DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

DEC 3 0 1987

DIVISION OF HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT

John J. Trela, Ph.D., Director 401 East State St. CN 028 Trenton, N.J. 08625 609 - 633 - 1408

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED P-592 171 854

Keith J. Casey Section Leader Best Foods Res. and Eng. Center 1120 Commerce Avenue Union, NJ 07083

Dear Mr. Casey:

Best Foods Res. and Eng. Center, Union, EPA ID NO. NJD 065 827

The Solid Waste Management Act (N.J.S.A. 13:1E-1 authorized the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) to establish a program requiring permits for hazardous waste treatment, storage or disposal facilities. NJDEP regulations to implement this permit program, which can be found under has issued N.J.A.C. 7:26-1.1 et seq.

Pursuant to these regulations, specifically N.J.A.C. 7:26-12.3, your facility has been operating as an existing facility since the New Jersey Hazardous Waste Management Regulations (N.J.A.C. 7:26-1.1 et seq.) became effective on October 8, 1981. Our records show that you have submitted either Part A of the USEPA RCRA Permit Application or Part A of the New Jersey Hazardous Waste Facility Permit Application. If necessary, your Part A application shall be revised to reflect the requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:26-1.1 et seq. Forms may be obtained from this office or U.S.E.P.A. Region II at (212) 264-9880.

This letter shall constitute an official request for the complete New Jersey Hazardous Waste Facility Permit Application, which shall include items set forth in Attachment I. Please note that Item 7 requires the submittal of information pursuant to the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 (HSWA). The State of New Jersey is not yet authorized to administer the provisions in HSWA, therefore the attached letter shall constitute EPA's request for the HSWA portion of the permit application. Your permit application should be prepared to both the HSWA and pre-HSWA requirements.

Your alternative information statement and affidavit should be submitted no later than three (3) months from the date of this letter. The remaining sections of your application should be submitted no later than six (6) months from the date of this letter. Failure to submit the required application by this date shall constitute grounds for termination of existing facility status pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:26-12.3(f)2.

As stated above, your full application is not due until six (6) months from the date of this letter. However, I would encourage you to start work on it as early as possible because there is a significant amount of information to be submitted. Initially, all applicants shall submit three (3) copies of the application. Two copies shall be submitted to:

Ernest J. Kuhlwein, Acting Chief Bureau of Hazardous Waste Engineering Division of Hazardous Waste Management New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection 401 East State Street, 5th Floor Trenton, NJ 08625

The remaining copy shall be submitted to:

Barry Tornick, Chief
New Jersey/Caribbean Permit Section
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region II (2AWM-SW)
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10278

The Department will notify the applicant as to how many additional copies are needed for distribution to appropriate state and local authorities when it completes its initial evaluation of the application.

Should you wish to treat part of your application as confidential information, please refer to Attachment II, which outlines the procedures to be followed in making this claim.

I have taken the liberty of scheduling two (2) application conferences in order to assist you in preparing this application. These conferences have been scheduled for January 28, 1988 and February 29, 1988 at 10:00 am. These conferences will be held in the conference room, 401 East State Street, 5th floor, Trenton, New Jersey 08625. If it is determined that one or both of these conferences is unnecessary, please notify my office of the cancellation.

Date Received (For Official Use Only) Verification. RECUELLA VESA 98 APR 23 AM 11: 46 JRS EXP TAZARDOUS & SULID MA Edits a lation see A to Numb VALUE OF THE PARTY 973)425-8500 the Jehrsel Street (continued) 86/421 on taline Address is a insimellous): 016 Balland Type Calendary

riease print or type with ELLLE type (12 characters per inch) in the unshaded areas only

Bures

hained

Will the same of t	230-41130M-76-2400-21-2-1		
	Glebadere Arbeite en promise a co	P. J. Godon	et-torres
<u> </u>	anos evacetarion		Special and your miles
Soft and it see the sub-close) as the cooker in the cooker			Pally Carabon Servi
	2006		
			ings to street
	ides e foolow was let to bridge.		_ypaccond.toru
Voi violi i venici. ■ Cons			
	Lie die de		
			Signification of the second
e esciplore as considerates	No. 71	To a	
Characteristics of Nonlisted Haza	Idoue Wester Made With		
wastes your installation handles. (Se	rdous Wastes. Mark 'X' in the boxes correspect 40 CFR Parts 261.20 - 261.24)	onding to the charac	teristics of nonlisted hazardous
The second of the second second	(C) Single results	States and the second	na maganita ya masa kata kata kata kata kata kata kata k
\times			
Listed Hazardous Wastes (See 40)	CED 004 04 00 0		
Transfer (cee 40	CFR 261.31 - 33. See instructions if you nee	d to list more than 12	waste codes.)
			Jonas Britania
Other Wastes. (State or other wastes	requiring an I.D. number. See instructions.)		
	the second secon		
	The state of the s		
iny under penalty of law that!! all attached documents, and	nave personally examined and am fa	millar with the in	formation submitted in ti
ining the information. I believe	o that the automity in quity of thos	e muividuais im	mediately responsible i
······ a die dialilicalii Nenami	es for submitting false information is	n, including the	e possibility of fines ar
isonment.			•
Isonment.	Name and Official Title	Allen of the survey of	
isonment.	Name and Official Title (type or print		ate Signed
isonment.) 5 Admin	ate Signed 4- 22- 98
isonment.			. •
isonment.			. •
Isonment.			. •

-4-

Alternative 1

(Millions of Dollars)

1.	Sum of current closure and post-closure cost estimates (total of all cost estimates listed	
2.	Amount of annual aggregate liability coverage	<u>\$ 0.4023</u>
	to be demonstrated	2.0
3.	Sum of lines 1 and 2	2.4023
*4.	lotal liabilities (if any portion of the closure	
	or post-closure cost estimates is included in your	
	total liabilities, you may deduct that portion from	
	this line and add that amount to lines 5 and 6)	1,226.9
* 5.	langible net worth	1,200.4
*6.	Net worth	1,235.1
* 7.	current assets	$\frac{-1,129.5}{1,129.5}$
*8.	Current liabilities	706.4
9.	Net working capital (line 7 minus line 8)	423.1
*10.	The sum of net income plus depreciation, depletion,	720.1
	and amortization	306.0
*11.	lotal assets in U.S. (required only if less than 90%	
	of assets are located in the U.S.)	865.1

		Yes	No
12.	Is line 5 at least \$10 million?	l I X]
13.	Is line 5 at least 6 times line 3?	χ̈́	i
14.	Is line 9 at least 6 times line 3?	ΪX	i
15.	Are at least 90% of assets located in the U.S.?		i
	If not, complete line 16		i x
16.	Is line II at least 6 times line 3?	Х	į ~
1/.	IS line 4 divided by line 6 less than 2.0?	X	
18.	IS line 10 divided by line 4 greater than 0.1?	χ	
19.	Is line 7 divided by line 8 greater than 1.5?	χ	

-5-

I hereby certify that the wording of this letter is identical to the wording specified in 40 CFR 264.151(g) as such regulations were constituted on the date shown immediately below.

Gene A. Burns

Nice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date

Corporate Guarantee For Closure or Post-Closure Care

Guarantee made this date, July 1, 1982, by CPC International Inc., a business corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware; herein referred to as guarantor, to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), obligee, on behalf of our subsidiary, Penick Corporation of 1050 Wall Street West, Lyndhurst, New Jersey 07071.

Recitals:

- 1. Guarantor meets or exceeds the financial test criteria and agrees to comply with the reporting requirements for guarantors as specified in 40 CFR 264.143(f), 264.145(f), 265.143(e), and 265.145(e).
- 2. Penick Corporation owns or operates the following hazardous waste management facilities covered by this guarantee:
 - a. Penick Corporation540 New York AvenueLyndhurst, New Jersey 07071

EPA Identification No. NJD081894842

Penick Corporation
 158 Mt. Olivet Avenue
 Newark, New Jersey 07114

EPA Identification No. NJT000604058

Penick Corporation
 215 Watchung Avenue
 Orange, New Jersey 07050

EPA Identification No. NJD064266687

Guarantee is for closure care for all facilities.

3. "Closure plans" and post-closure plans" as used below refer to the plans maintained as required by Subpart G of 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265 for the closure and post-closure care of facilities as identified above.

-2-

- 4. For value received from Penick Corporation, guarantor guarantees to EPA that in the event that Penick Corporation fails to perform closure care of the above facilities in accordance with the closure or post-closure plans and other permit or interim status requirements whenever required to do so, the guarantor shall do so or establish a trust fund as specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 or 265, as applicable, in the name of Penick Corporation in the amount of the current closure or post-closure cost estimates as specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265.
- 5. Guarantor agrees that if, at the end of any fiscal year before termination of this guarantee, the guarantor fails to meet the financial test criteria, guarantor shall send within 90 days, by certified mail, notice to the EPA Regional Administrator for the Region in which the facilities are located and to Penick Corporation that he intends to provide alternate financial assurance as specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 or 265, as applicable, in the name of Penick Corporation. Within 120 days after the end of such fiscal year, the guarantor shall establish such financial assurance unless Penick Corporation has done so.
- 6. The guarantor agrees to notify the EPA Regional Administrator by certified mail, of a voluntary or involuntary proceeding under Title 11 (Bankruptcy), U.S. Code, naming guarantor as debtor, within 10 days after commencement of the proceeding.
- 7. Guarantor agrees that within 30 days after being notified by an EPA Regional Administrator of a determination that guarantor no longer meets the financial test criteria or that he is disallowed from continuing as a guarantor of closure or post-closure care, he shall establish alternate financial assurance as specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 or 265, as applicable, in the name of Penick Corporation unless Penick Corporation has done so.
- 8. Guarantor agrees to remain bound under this guarantee notwithstanding any or all of the following: amendment or modification of the closure or post-closure plan, amendment or
 modification of the permit, the extension or reduction of the
 time of performance of closure or post-closure, or any other
 modification or alteration of an obligation of the owner or
 operator pursuant to 40 CFR Parts 264 or 265.

-3-

- 9. Guarantor agrees to remain bound under this guarantee for so long as Penick Corporation must comply with the applicable financial assurance requirements of Subpart H or 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265 for the above-listed facilities, except that guarantor may cancel this guarantee by sending notice by certified mail to the EPA Regional Administrator for the Region in which the facilities are located and to Penick Corporation, such cancellation to become effective no earlier than 120 days after receipt of such notice by both EPA and Penick Corporation as evidenced by the return receipts.
- 10. Guarantor agrees that if Penick Corporation fails to provide alternate financial assurance as specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 or 265, as applicable, and obtain written approval of such assurance from the EPA Regional Administrator within 90 days after a notice of cancellation by the guarantor is received by an EPA Regional Administrator from guarantor, guarantor shall provide such alternate financial assurance in the name of Penick Corporation.
- 11. Guarantor expressly waives notice of acceptance of this guarantee by the EPA or by Penick Corporation. Guarantor also expressly waives notice of amendments or modifications of the closure and/or post-closure plan and of amendments or modifications of the facility permits.

I hereby certify that the wording of this guarantee is identical to the wording specified in 40 CFR 264.151(h) as such regulations were constituted on the date first above written.

Effective date: July 1, 1982

Name of guarantor: CPC International Inc

Authorized signature for guarantor:

Name of person signing: Gene A. Burns

Title of person signing: Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Signature of witness or notary:

Corporate Guarantee For Closure or Post-Closure Care

Guarantee made this date, July 1, 1982, by CPC International Inc., a business corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware; herein referred to as guarantor, to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), obligee, on behalf of our subsidiary, Amerchol Corporation of Talmadge Road, P. O. Box 351, Edison, New Jersey 08817.

Recitals:

- 1. Guarantor meets or exceeds the financial test criteria and agrees to comply with the reporting requirements for guarantors as specified in 40 CFR 264.143(f), 264.145(f), 265.143(e), and 265.145(e).
- Amerchol Corporation owns or operates the following hazardous waste management facility covered by this guarantee:

Surface Impoundment
EPA Identification No. NJD053099974
Talmadge Road
P. O. Box 351
Edison, New Jersey 08817
Guarantee is for closure care

- 3. "Closure plans" and "post-closure plans" as used below refer to the plans maintained as required by Subpart G of 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265 for the closure and post-closure care of facilities as identified above.
- 4. For value received from Amerchol Corporation, guarantor guarantees to EPA that in the event that Amerchol Corporation fails to perform closure care of the above facility in accordance with the closure or post-closure plans and other permit or interim status requirements whenever required to do so, the guarantor shall do so or establish a trust fund as specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 or 265, as applicable, in the name of Amerchol Corporation in the amount of the current closure or post-closure cost estimates as specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265.

-2-

- 5. Guarantor agrees that if, at the end of any fiscal year before termination of this guarantee, the guarantor fails to meet the financial test criteria, guarantor shall send within 90 days, by certified mail, notice to the EPA Regional Administrator for the Region in which the facility is located and to Amerchol Corporation that he intends to provide alternate financial assurance as specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 or 265, as applicable, in the name of Amerchol Corporation. Within 120 days after the end of such fiscal year, the guarantor shall establish such financial assurance unless Amerchol Corporation has done so.
- 6. The guarantor agrees to notify the EPA Regional Administrator by certified mail, of a voluntary or involuntary proceeding under Title 11 (Bankruptcy), U.S. Code, naming guarantor as debtor, within 10 days after commencement of the proceeding.
- 7. Guarantor agrees that within 30 days after being notified by an EPA Regional Administrator of a determination that guarantor no longer meets the financial test criteria or that he is disallowed from continuing as a guarantor of closure or post-closure care, he shall establish alternate financial assurance as specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 or 265, as applicable, in the name of Amerchol Corporation unless Amerchol Corporation has done so.
- 8. Guarantor agrees to remain bound under this guarantee notwithstanding any or all of the following: amendment or modification of the closure or post-closure plan, amendment or
 modification of the permit, the extension or reduction of the
 time of performance of closure or post-closure, or any other
 modification or alteration of an obligation of the owner or
 operator pursuant to 40 CFR Parts 264 or 265.
- 9. Guarantor agrees to remain bound under this guarantee for so long as Amerchol Corporation must comply with the applicable financial assurance requirements of Subpart H or 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265 for the above-listed facilities, except that guarantor may cancel this guarantee by sending notice by certified mail to the EPA Regional Administrator for the Region in which the facility is located and to Amerchol Corporation, such cancellation to become effective no earlier than 120 days after receipt of such notice by both EPA and Amerchol Corporation as evidenced by the return receipts.

-3-

- 10. Guarantor agrees that if Amerchol Corporation fails to provide alternate financial assurance as specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 or 265, as applicable, and obtain written approval of such assurance from the EPA Regional Administrator within 90 days after a notice of cancellation by the guarantor is received by an EPA Regional Administrator from guarantor, guarantor shall provide such alternate financial assurance in the name of Amerchol Corporation.
- 11. Guarantor expressly waives notice of acceptance of this guarantee by the EPA or by Amerchol Corporation. Guarantor also expressly waives notice of amendments or modifications of the closure and/or post-closure plan and of amendments or modifications of the facility permit.

I hereby certify that the wording of this guarantee is identical to the wording specified in 40 CFR 264.151(h) as such regulations were constituted on the date first above written.

Effective date: July 1, 1982

Name of guarantor: CPC International Inc.

Authorized signature for guarantor:

Name of person signing: Gene A. Burns

Title of person signing: Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Signature of witness or notary:



State of New Versey DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

DEC 3 0 1987

John J. Trela, Ph.D., Director
401 East State St.
CN 028

Trenton, N.J. 08625 609 - 633 - 1408

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED P-592 171 854

Keith J. Casey Section Leader Best Foods Res. and Eng. Center 1120 Commerce Avenue Union, NJ 07083

Dear Mr. Casey:

RE: Best Foods Res. and Eng. Center, Union, EPA ID NO. NJD 065 827 925

The Solid Waste Management Act (N.J.S.A. 13:1E-1 et seq.) authorized the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) to establish a program requiring permits for hazardous waste treatment, storage or disposal facilities. NJDEP has issued regulations to implement this permit program, which can be found under N.J.A.C. 7:26-1.1 et seq.

Pursuant to these regulations, specifically N.J.A.C. 7:26-12.3, your facility has been operating as an existing facility since the New Jersey Hazardous Waste Management Regulations (N.J.A.C. 7:26-1.1 et seq.) became effective on October 8, 1981. Our records show that you have submitted either Part A of the USEPA RCRA Permit Application or Part A of the New Jersey Hazardous Waste Facility Permit Application. If necessary, your Part A application shall be revised to reflect the requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:26-1.1 et seq. Forms may be obtained from this office or U.S.E.P.A. Region II at (212) 264-9880.

This letter shall constitute an official request for the complete New Jersey Hazardous Waste Facility Permit Application, which shall include items set forth in Attachment I. Please note that Item 7 requires the submittal of information pursuant to the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 (HSWA). The State of New Jersey is not yet authorized to administer the provisions in HSWA, therefore the attached letter shall constitute EPA's request for the HSWA portion of the permit application. Your permit application should be prepared to both the HSWA and pre-HSWA requirements.

Your alternative information statement and affidavit should be submitted no later than three (3) months from the date of this letter. The remaining sections of your application should be submitted no later than six (6) months from the date of this letter. Failure to submit the required application by this date shall constitute grounds for termination of existing facility status pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:26-12.3(f)2.

As stated above, your full application is not due until six (6) months from the date of this letter. However, I would encourage you to start work on it as early as possible because there is a significant amount of information to be submitted. Initially, all applicants shall submit three (3) copies of the application. Two copies shall be submitted to:

Ernest J. Kuhlwein, Acting Chief Bureau of Hazardous Waste Engineering Division of Hazardous Waste Management New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection 401 East State Street, 5th Floor Trenton, NJ 08625

The remaining copy shall be submitted to:

Barry Tornick, Chief
New Jersey/Caribbean Permit Section
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region II (2AWM-SW)
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10278

The Department will notify the applicant as to how many additional copies are needed for distribution to appropriate state and local authorities when it completes its initial evaluation of the application.

Should you wish to treat part of your application as confidential information, please refer to Attachment II, which outlines the procedures to be followed in making this claim.

I have taken the liberty of scheduling two (2) application conferences in order to assist you in preparing this application. These conferences have been scheduled for January 28, 1988 and February 29, 1988 at 10:00 am. These conferences will be held in the conference room, 401 East State Street, 5th floor, Trenton, New Jersey 08625. If it is determined that one or both of these conferences is unnecessary, please notify my office of the cancellation.

Should you have any questions regarding this official request to submit your Hazardous Waste Facility Permit Application, please do not hesitate to contact Bob Patel or Tom Sherman of my staff at (609) 292-9880.

Very truly yours,

Ernest J. Kuhlwein, Jr., Acting Chief Bureau of Hazardous Waste Engineering

EP9/slw
Attachments
c: Barry Tornick, USEPA

DOCUMENT: BEST FOLDER: HWEMCB

SEP 1 1 1985

Mr. John H. Skoviak
Assistant Chief
Compliance & Enforcement
Division of Waste Management
New Jersey Department of
Environmental Protection
120 Route 156
Yardville, New Jersey 08620

Re: Enforcement Action Review

Closure Plans

Dear Mr. Skoviak:

Pursuant to our agreement, enclosed are copies of proposed enforcement actions the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) will be taking against the following facilities in New Jersey:

FACILITY

EPA I.D. NUMBER

Sun Chemical Corporation
Best Foods Research & Engineering Center

NJD002458842 NJD065827925

If you have any comments, please respond within 15 days of your receipt of this letter or the action will proceed as proposed.

All comments should be directed to Mr. Samuel Ezekwo of my staff, at 212/264-5141.

Sincerely yours,

Stanley Siegel, Acting Chief New Jersey/Caribbean Compliance & Enforcement Section

Enclosure

bcc: Samuel Ezekwo,, SWB (w/o encl.)

dura Livingston, PAB (w/o encl.)



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF NOTIFICATION OF HAZARDOUS WASTE ACTIVITY

05/04/98

This is to acknowledge that you have filed a Notification of Hazardous Waste Activity for the installation located at the address shown in the box below to comply with Section 3010 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Identification Number for that installation appears in the box The EPA Identification Number must be included on all shipping manifests for transporting hazardous wastes; on all Annual Reports that generators of hazardous waste, and owners and operators of hazardous waste treatment, storage and disposal facilities must file with EPA; on all applications for a Federal Hazardous Waste Permit; and other hazardous waste management reports and documents required under Subtitle C of RCRA.

EPA I.D. NUMBER -> NJD065827925

FACILITY NAME -> UNION TWP BD OF ED HANNAH CALDWELL SCH

MAILING ADDRESS -> 1120 COMMERCE AVE UNION, NJ 07083

INSTALLATION ADDRESS -> 1120 COMMERCE AVE UNION, NJ 07083

EPA Form 8700-12AB (4-80)

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION II** 290 BROADWAY **NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007-1866**

ATTN: AIR & WASTE MANAGEMENT DIVISION, 22ND FL. HAZARDOUS & SOLID WASTE PROGRAMS BRANCH RCRA NOTIFICATIONS

TO: DUNN, KAREN SCHOOL BUS ADM UNION TWP BD OF ED HANNAH CALDWELL SCH 2369 MORRIS AVE UNION, NJ 07083

riease print or type with ELLLE type (12 characters per inch) in the unshaded areas only Date Received (For Official Use Only) 0 111 (5 5 15 (0) 11: Reculence verse 98 APR 23 AM 11: L 282111111 UPS EXP IAZARDOUS & SULID WA staliation/(nclude company and specific site name ation of installation (Physical address not P.O. Box of ity or Town ٥ 7083 0 85/221 R AlD 0 5 8 8 VII. Ownership (See Instructions) D Balland Type

973)425-8500 the Jehost

Siminarmon

Second

Laire

VIII Type of Regulated Waste A	douvations of the incompanies		inclusted)
A 467	in contract to the contract		SERVINDEN SOURCE
Sineralo (I see (Isino (O)) Sineralo (Inalia			
			es careias a circina.
		Transacting	in Philosocie Chierest
	Co-e-docor		yp) i Cond. In the
La reconstruction of the contract of the contr			
	. Lande of the		
ecoplored could by as	THE STATE OF STREET	The second secon	
Characteristics of Nonlisted Hazard	dous Wastes. Mark 'X' in the boxes cor	responding to the char	acteristics of poplisted bezardou
wastes your installation handles. (Se	e 40 CFR Parts 261.20 - 261.24)	2	actionates of fichilisted flazzardo
<u> </u>	(Comparing the Comparing the C		
\times			
Listed Hazardous Wastes. (See 40 (CFR 261.31 - 33. See instructions if you	need to list more than	12 words codes
POZNACIONE POR		TROCA IS INC. IT INC. IT INC.	12 Waste Codes.)
Other Wastes. (State or other wastes	requiring an I.D. number. See instruction	rs.)	
	以表现数据的		
riyiajiya 📝	and the second s	وينترج بيراث الريمي فينحط أعماه فالناداة الأعلاد	Survey of the su
tify under penalty of law that!!	nave personally examined and a	m familiar with the	
there are significant penalti	e that the submitted information for submitting false informations.		
	All a delications and a second		
ture	Name and Official Title (type or		Date Signed
w mixim	Haren M. Donn 51	Bus Admin	4-22-98
			ę.
			· ·
			÷.
			La se historia de la servicio de la como de